DC-10 jumbo jet
1986 – Challenger disaster
Flint, Michigan – more later
Number one priority!!!

Hold paramount the safety, health and welfare of the public
Licensing

• Licensing provides the right to practice
• Minimum level of competence and ethical practice
• Legal protections from recrimination when ethical choices are made
Ethical decisions
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• Is it legal?
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Ethical decisions

Ask yourself . . .

• Is it legal?
• Does this harm anyone?
• How would the stakeholders view it?
• How would it look on the front page?
• Have I discussed it with others?
Ethical approaches

Situational ethics
• Depends on the situation

Utilitarian ethics
• Provides the most benefit

Consequential ethics
• Depends on the consequences
Situational ethics
Utilitarian ethics
Utilitarian ethics
Consequential ethics
Consequences

- Loss of employment
- Suspension or revocation of PE license
- Suspension or revocation of business license
- Fines
- Imprisonment
- Civil suits
Flint, Michigan
**Hard** times in Flint, Michigan

- Population dropped by 50%
- Lost industry
- 100 mgd to 16 mgd
- 40% below poverty level
- Buying water from Detroit
Emergency managers

- City in receivership – no money
- Emergency managers placed in charge
- Authority to make decisions
- Primary goal = solvency
FLINT WATER BILLS: HIGHEST OF 500 LARGEST U.S. WATER SYSTEMS

How the city of Flint compares to other water systems in Michigan and averages of public and private systems in the U.S. These are annual water bills for households using 60,000 gallons a year, using figures compiled in January 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Public Water Bill</th>
<th>Private Water Bill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>FLINT</td>
<td>$316.20</td>
<td>$864.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Westland</td>
<td>$552.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U.S. AVERAGE, PRIVATE WATER SYSTEM</td>
<td>$500.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>Ypsilanti</td>
<td>$410.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>Lansing</td>
<td>$370.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U.S. AVERAGE, PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM</td>
<td>$316.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>Canton</td>
<td>$301.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>284</td>
<td>Livonia</td>
<td>$291.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>347</td>
<td>Grand Rapids</td>
<td>$258.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>359</td>
<td>Clinton Township</td>
<td>$253.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>366</td>
<td>DETROIT</td>
<td>$249.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>Warren</td>
<td>$247.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>Ann Arbor</td>
<td>$238.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>472</td>
<td>Sterling Heights</td>
<td>$165.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>483</td>
<td>Kalamazoo</td>
<td>$147.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOURCE: Food and Water Watch
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**Flint water supply**

- Detroit Water supply (Lake Huron) since 1967
  - Treated water
  - Higher cost
- Keregnondi Water Authority (KWA)
  - Untreated supply
  - Flint WTP improvements ($4 million)
- Flint River water supply – backup supply
  - More acidic, higher chlorides
  - Consultant recommended WTP improvements
Water supply sources

• 2013: City Council voted to join KWA
  - Need new pipeline to connect
  - Connect in 2016 -3 years away
  - Save $19 million over 8 years
• Emergency manager approved the change
• Detroit Water gave 12 months’ notice (contract)
Ethical decision

Was the City Council justified in switching water suppliers?
1. Is it legal?
2. Does this harm anyone?
3. How would the stakeholders view it?
4. How would it look on the front page?
2014

• 2014 Detroit Water contract ended
• City Council approved temporary water supply
• April 2014 – Changed to Flint River water
  - High chlorides – no corrosion control treatment
  - Leached lead from service connections
**Response** to complaints

- Taste, odor, color, bac-t complaints
  - Increased chlorination
- GM stopped using water
- August 2014 samples
  - High THMs

- EPA recommends action if 10% >15 ppb
  - Flint selected locations from “homes with lead service lines” – locations not completely documented
  - Flushed samples?
  - MDEQ withdrew two samples
  - >90% of samples acceptable (<15 ppb)
  - Reported “no problems” to public
Early 2015

- Detroit offered to supply water—no reconnection charge
- City Council voted to accept Detroit water
- MDEQ rejected request
  - Consultant tests meet standards (90% <15 ppb)
  - “no imminent threat to public health”
- Emergency manager rejected request
  - “Flint water today is safe”
- State blocked Flint from connecting to Detroit
  - Based on earlier $7 million grant to Flint
Ethical decision

Were the emergency manager and the State justified in recommending against connecting to Detroit Water?

1. Is it legal?
2. Does this harm anyone?
3. How would the stakeholders view it?
4. How would it look on the front page?
Home sampling – 2015

- August 2015
  - Virginia Tech students home sampling program
  - No flushing
  - 37 of 423 homes exceeded 15 ppb Pb limit
  - 8 homes exceeded 100 ppb
  - One home – 158 ppb
Lead in Flint’s water

A research team from Virginia Tech tested 252 samples of water from homes in Flint, Michigan, for lead content. The Environmental Protection Agency requires action if more than 10 percent of tap water exceeds 15 parts lead per billion parts water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPA benchmark: 15 ppb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flint water samples</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average First draw</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average 45 seconds of flushing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average 2 minutes of flushing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>90th percentile First draw</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Most contaminated sample First draw</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Flint Water Study, EPA

Graphic: Tribune News Service
September 2015 – Blood Lead Testing

- August 2015
  - Petition with 26,000 signatures

- September 2015
  - Higher blood lead levels
Response

• October 2015
  - Switched back to Detroit water
  - $ 10 million State funds
  - $ 12 million cost
• MDEQ stood by test results
• MDEQ defended corrosion control
• January 2016 – State of Emergency
Ethical decision

Should the Michigan DEQ and the Governor have acted earlier, based on what they knew at the time?

1. Is it legal?
2. Does this harm anyone?
3. How would the stakeholders view it?
4. How would it look on the front page?
The Poisoning Of An American City
Consequences

• 6,000 – 12,000 children exposed to lead
• Cause of Legionnaire’s Disease??
• Four officials resigned (MDEQ, EPA Region 5)
• Two officials terminated
• Nine criminal indictments
• Call for Governor’s resignation
• Class action lawsuits
• Individual lawsuits
Resolution???

- $28 million $50 million for direct costs & water bill credits
- $175 million pledged for improvements
- Lower lead levels
- Independent Commission Report (March 2016)
  - MDEQ
  - Emergency managers
  - Flint officials
  - EPA
  - “Environmental injustice”
October 20, 2016

• EPA Inspector General blames EPA
  • Should have acted in June 2015
  • Resulted in seven month delay
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