

Influence of Selected Land Application Strategies on the Fate and Transport of Antimicrobials and Antimicrobial Resistance Genes

Dr. Shannon Bartelt-Hunt, P.E. Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering University of Nebraska-Lincoln



Project Team

- University of Nebraska-Lincoln
 - Dr. Xu Li, Civil Engineering
 - Dr. Shannon Bartelt-Hunt, Civil Engineering
 - Dr. Daniel Snow, Nebraska Water Center
 - Stacey Joy, Bhavneet Soni
- United States Department of Agriculture
 - Dr. John Gilley
 - Dr. Bryan Woodbury
- Funding provided by the National Pork Board



Background



Antimicrobials and Antimicrobial Resistance

- □ Antimicrobials in livestock production
 - Therapeutic level: disease treatment
 - Sub-therapeutic level: prophylaxes and growth promotion
 - A substantial amount not absorbed and released with wastes
- □ Antimicrobial resistance
 - Emerging in hospitals
 - Emerging in the livestock gut and the environment
 - Commensal and pathogenic bacteria
 - Antimicrobial resistance infections: \$20 billion in excess health care costs and 8 million additional hospital days





Motivation

- Runoff from fields where manure is land applied can contain antimicrobials and antimicrobial resistance genes (ARG)
- Limited studies investigating the influence of land application strategy and mitigation strategies such as grass buffer strips on antimicrobial and ARG transport
- Limited studies have investigated the fate of both antimicrobial and ARG concurrently



Research Objectives

(1) Evaluate the fate of antimicrobial and ARG after land application of swine manure as a function of land application method

(1) Evaluate removal of antimicrobial and ARG in runoff by a narrow grass hedge



AB and ARGs in runoff – study design

 Manure was collected from US Meat Animal Research Center (USDA) from various animal production barns using different antimicrobials: chlortetracycline, tylosin and bacitracin

Manure	Antimicrobial		ARG	
slurry	(mg/kg ww)	(mg/kg dw)	(copy/mL)	
CTC monute	CTC monute CTC		<i>tet</i> (Q)	<i>tet</i> (X)
CIC-manure	3.3 ± 1.6	404 ± 138	$(2.5 \pm 1.3) \times 10^4$	$(1.3\pm0.7)\times10^{3}$
TYL-manure	TYL		<i>erm</i> (B)	erm(F)
	0.29 ± 0.12	32.5±7.2	$(1.6 \pm 1.1) \times 10^4$	$(1.4\pm0.5)\times10^{2}$
	BAC		bcrA, bcrB, bcrC	bceA, bceR
DAC-manure	0.78 ± 0.75	320±31.5	$\mathbf{ND}^{\#}$	ND



Land Application Methods

Broadcast

Incorporation

Injection









Manure slurry

Antimicrobial loading through land application of manure is estimated at the kg/hectare level (Winckler & Grafe, 2001)



Experimental Methods

Manure slurry collected at the USDA Meat Animal Research Center (MARC)





Field testing site at the UNL Roger's Memorial Farm



Experimental design allowed for statistical analysis of the data



Evaluated in a randomized block design on fields that had never received manure application



Rainfall Simulation Experiments

- Simulated rainfall intensity 70 mm/hr
 - 3 sequential events (#1, 2, and 3)
- All runoff collected and a composite sample taken
 - Stored at -20°C until analysis
- Soil cores collected before and after rainfall simulation





CTC in Runoff



Run	Mass Loading (average \pm std.error, µg/m²)				
	Broadcast	Injection	Incorporation		
1	4.54 ± 1.65	0.30 ± 0.14	0.23±0.11		
2	1.11 ± 0.50	0.27 ± 0.14	0.06 ± 0.02		
3	0.15 ± 0.03	0.09 ± 0.03	0.14 ± 0.04		
Sum	5.80	0.66	0.43		
Fraction in Run 1	0.78	0.45	0.55		



Comparison of antimicrobials in runoff



	Run	Mass Loading (average \pm std.error, µg/m ²)			
		Broadcast	Injection	Incorporation	
Tylosin mass transport in runoff	1	280.41 ± 213.66	5.23 ± 2.28	33.56 ± 22.45	
	2	89.02 ± 70.28	4.59 ± 1.84	11.55 ± 1.64	
	3	56.37 ± 34.12	1.73 ± 1.25	4.50 ± 0.56	
	Sum	425.90	11.55	49.61	
	Fraction in Run 1	0.66	0.45	0.68	
runom	Sum Fraction in Run 1	425.90 0.66	11.55 0.45	49.61 0.68	

ARGs in Runoff



- Highest loads of antimicrobials and ARGs occurred in the runoff during the 1st rain event.
- Broadcast application leads to more antimicrobial and ARGs in runoff than injection and incorporation.

Narrow Grass Hedges – Study Design



Antibiotic removal by grass hedge





ARG removal by grass hedge



without grass hedgewith grass hedge



ARG occurrence in check plots



without grass hedgewith grass hedge



AB and ARG occurrence in soils







Conclusions

- Narrow grass hedges reduced tylosin in runoff by over an order of magnitude, likely due to enhanced infiltration or sorption
- erm(B) and 16S RNA were also removed by grass hedges
 - Consistent with prior work on removal of suspended sediment and fecal coliforms
- Tylosin and *erm*(B) occurrence in soil can be attributable to manure application



Publications Resulting from this Work

Soni, B.; Bartelt-Hunt, S.L.; Snow, D.D.; Gilley, J.; Marx, D.; Woodbury, B.; Li, X. (2015). Effect of Narrow Grass Hedges on the Transport of Antimicrobial and Antimicrobial Resistance Genes in Runoff Following Land Application of Swine Slurry. <u>Journal of Environmental Quality</u>.

Joy, S.R.; Li, X.; Snow, D.D.; Gilley, J.E.; Woodbury, B.; Bartelt-Hunt, S.L. (2014). Fate of Antimicrobials and Antimicrobial Resistance Genes in Simulated Swine Manure Storage. <u>Science of the Total Environment</u>, 481: 69-74.

Gilley, J.E.; Bartelt-Hunt, S.L.; Li, X.; Marx, D.B.; Snow, D.D.; Parker, D.B.; Woodbury, B.L. (2013). Runoff nutrient transport as affected by land application method, swine growth stage and runoff rate, <u>Transactions of ASABE</u>, 56: 1295-1303.

Joy, S.R.; Bartelt-Hunt, S.L.; Snow D.D.; Gilley, J.; Woodbury, B; Parker D.; Marx, D.; Li, X. (2013). Fate and transport of antimicrobials and antimicrobial resistance genes in soil and runoff following land application of swine manure slurry. <u>Environmental Science and Technology</u>, 47(21): 12081-12088.

Gilley, J.E.; Bartelt-Hunt, S.L.; Li, X.; Marx, D.B.; Snow, D.D.; Parker, D.B.; Woodbury, B.L. (2013). Narrow Grass Hedge Effects on Nutrient Transport Following Swine Slurry Application, <u>Transactions of ASABE</u>, 56: 1441-1450.





Questions? sbartelt2@unl.edu

©2007 The Board of Regents of the University of Nebraska

