

#### The American Academy of Environmental Engineers MasterCard<sup>®</sup> Credit Card with WorldPoints<sup>®</sup> rewards

Rewards you can really get into.

A check for \$250.

A flight across the country or anywhere in the world.

A big-screen TV.

If you can dream it, the new American Academy of Environmental Engineers Platinum Plus<sup>®</sup> MasterCard<sup>®</sup> credit card with WorldPoints<sup>®</sup> rewards can make it happen.

We couldn't be more proud to offer you this exciting new credit card rewards program at competitive rates. The NO ANNUAL FEE\* card has everything you'd want and more!

What's more, the card that rewards you supports our organization. Each time you make a purchase with your credit card, a contribution is made to the American Academy of Environmental Engineers at no additional cost to you.

Learn more—call toll-free 1.866.438.6262. Please refer to priority code FACEIT when speaking with a representative to apply.

> \*For information about the rates, fees, other costs, and benefits associated with the use of the card, or to apply, please call the above toll-free number.

This credit card program is issued and administered by FIA Card Services, N.A. Any account opened in response to this application shall be governed by the laws of the State of Delaware. The WorldPoints program is managed in part by independent third parties, including a travel agency registered to do business in California (Reg. No. 2036509-50); Ohio (Reg. No. 87890286) Washington (Reg. No. 6011237430) and other states, as required. MasterCard is a registered trade mark of MasterCard International Incorporated, and is used by the issuer pursuant to license. The WorldPoints design, WorldPoints, and Platinum Plus are registered trademarks of FIA Card Services, N.A. Bank of America and the Bank of America logo are registered trademarks of Bank of America Corporation. All other company and product names and logos are the property of others and their use does not imply the endorsement of, or an association with, the WorldPoints program.



©2008 Bank of America Corporation T-703259-032707

# ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER





CLASS OF 2008 Compiled by J. Sammi Olmo.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER: APPLIED RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

AAEE's professional journal.

#### MITIGATION OF OZONE-INDUCED BROMATE BY CARBON DIOXIDE AND CHLORINE/AMMONIA PROCESSES David Eberle, Zaid Chowdhury, Laurel Passantino, and

#### IN MEMORIAM: THOMAS E.VIK, P.E., BCEE

8

PRESIDENT'S PAGE 4	
ACADEMY NEWS 5	
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S PAGE 6	
MEMBER NEWS7	
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	

AAEE SPONSORED TECHNICAL WORKSHOPS IN 2008: IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE IN WATER AND WASTEWATER UTILITIES

2009 KAPPE LECTURER: RAO Y. SURAMPALLI, PH.D., P.E., BCEE

2010 OFFICER NOMINEES

AAEE AT WEFTEC'08

12

Environmental Engineers

#### PRESIDENT'S PAGE

BY DEBRA R. REINHART, PH.D., P.E., BCEE

## **10 CATALYSTS FOR SUCCESS**

THANKS FOR TAKING THE TIME TO READ MY INAUGURAL MESSAGE TO YOU AS PRESIDENT OF AAEE. First, I want to thank Bill Dee for his great service to AAEE this past year as president. His thoughtful leadership has positioned AAEE to make a global impact in the near future.

When I was inducted as your President at our Annual Installation Dinner this past November, I noted how this is a great time to be taking office. I even listed ten reasons for my optimism and I would like to share with you, in an abbreviated form, why I am excited about AAEE today.

**Reason No. 1:** Environmental Engineering plays a critical role in global health and well being today. Last year, the National Academy of Engineering identified 14 grand challenges for engineering; meeting four of them requires the innovation and insight unique to environmental engineers. These are daunting tasks, but ones I am confident you, as AAEE members, will help solve.

**Reason No. 2:** Because of the attention that is being paid to issues such as global warming, the enrollments in environmental engineering education programs are increasing. Through our Tau Chi Alpha Chapters and new student membership, we will be in an excellent position to serve this growing number and encourage these students to become life-long learners as they move through licensure and certification.

**Reason No. 3:** ABET recently approved a significant change in its procedures and now allows universities to accredit similarly named academic programs at the BS and MS levels. While implementing these changes still has some challenges, this is very important to environmental engineering. Many of our BCEEs and BCEEMs have Environmental Engineering degrees only at the MS level. In addition, I believe a BS is not sufficient to meet our future technical challenges. Accreditation at both levels will help ensure that those programs meet our high standards and educational needs.

**Reason No. 4:** As part of our strategic planning efforts, we are expanding our presence internationally. This will bring greater prestige to our organization and serve expanding needs in a global economy.

**Reason No. 5:** In order to improve service to you, we have developed an excellent strategic plan under the leadership of Brian Flynn. That strategic plan has identified critical needs in financing, membership services, diversification, outreach, and education. This strategic plan will guide me through my year as President, and ensure that efforts we make in governing the Academy best suit your needs.

**Reason No. 6:** Thanks to the efforts of our former Executive Director, Larry Pencak, our treasurer Christian Davies-Venn, Steve Kellogg for his Campaign 4000, and our dedicated membership the Academy is in its best financial state in years.

**Reason No. 7:** We have seen growth in AAEE membership and retention over the past few years. A

few reasons for this growth are that we have identified your needs and interests through surveys, made new contacts at major conferences, and expanded our numbers through our eminence program.

**Reason No. 8:** In the next several months, the Environmental Engineering Body of Knowledge will be published. This Body of Knowledge defines the knowledge and core competencies integral to the understanding and practice of environmental engineering. I believe it will provide important guidance and input to everyone involved in educating environmental engineers and ensure that future environmental engineers have the knowledge and ability necessary to meet professional challenges.

**Reason No. 9:** I am so grateful to the many, many volunteers who make it possible to govern the Academy with our lean budget. Those of you who give tirelessly to the Academy will make my tenure as President so much easier.

**Reason No. 10:** Here you will have to allow me a personal digression to remember the late Dr. Fred G. Pohland, my mentor and academic advisor at Georgia Tech. He was one of the original AAEE members and a Past President. Fred's devotion to the Academy inspired me to become certified. I will always be grateful to him.

So, I look forward to a busy, productive year. Please let me know if you have ideas, concerns, or want to volunteer. You can find my contact information in the *Who's Who in Environmental Engineering*!

#### ENVIRONMENTAL

The Quarterly Periodical of The American Academy of Environmental Engineers®

www.aaee.net

#### Officers

Debra R. Reinhart President Cecil Lue-Hing, President Elect Brian P. Flynn, Vice President Howard La Fever, Treasurer William P. Dee, Past President Joseph S. Cavarretta, CAE, Executive Director/ Secretary

#### Trustees

Gary S. Gasperino, A&WMA Pasquale S. Canzano, AIChE Edward Butts, APHA Lamont "Bud" W. Curtis, APWA Paul A. Bizier, ASCE Jason C. Lynch, ASEE Richard S. Magee, ASME Steven J. Quail, AWWA Hector R. Fuentes, AEESP Richard S. Gabrielse, NSPE Stephen G. Lippy, SWANA Richard K. Kuchenrither, WEF C. Robert Baillod, Trustee-at-Large Thomas E. Decker Trustee-at-Large Gary S. Logsdon, Trustee-at-Large Michael W. Selna, Trustee-at-Large Otis I. Sproul, Trustee-at-Large Sandra L. Tripp, Trustee-at-Large

#### Sponsoring Organizations

Air & Waste Management Association American Institute of Chemical Engineers American Public Health Association American Society for Engineering Education American Society for Engineering Education American Society of Orli Engineers American Society of Mechanical Engineers American Water Works Association Association of Environmental Engineering and Science Professional Engineers National Society of Professional Engineers Solid Waste Association of North America Water Environment Federation

#### Editorial Staff

C. Robert Baillod, Ph.D. Editor-in-Chief, Applied Research & Practice Editor

Yolanda Y. Moulden, News, Currents, and Careers Art & Graphics Yolanda Y. Moulden Production

#### Yolanda Y. Moulden

Advertising Alan Whalen Craig Kelman & Associates I-866-985-9782 • FAX I-866-985-9799 e-mail: awhalen@kelman.ca

Offices

Environmental Engineer is published by the American Academy of Environmental Engineers<sup>®</sup>. Address all communications on editorial, business and other matters to:

> Editor-in-Chief, Environmental Engineer® American Academy of Environmental Engineers® 130 Holiday Court, Suite 100 Annapolis, Maryland 21401 410-266-3311

The American Academy of Environmental Engineers name and logo and Environmental Engineer are registered trademarks of the American Academy of Environmental Engineers, Inc.

© Copyright 2009

American Academy of Environmental Engineers®

Printed on recycled paper

#### ACADEMY NEWS

#### DISTINGUISHED ENGINEERS RECOGNIZED

The Academy will recognize five distinguished environmental engineers at its Awards Luncheon to be held at the National Press Club in Washington, DC on Wednesday, May 6, 2009:

#### Thomas E. Wilson, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE

Gordon Maskew Fair Award

#### Stephen P. Graef, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE Stanley E. Kappe Award

#### Walter J. Bishop, P.E., BCEE Edward J. Cleary Award

*. . .* 

#### Dr. Perry McCarty

Honorary Board Certified Environmental Engineer

#### Michael J. Rouse

Honorary Board Certified Environmental Engineer

Tickets for the Luncheon are \$65.00 and can be ordered from Academy Headquarters now. You can use the flyer at http://www.aaee.net or call the Academy at 410-266-3311; Master-Card and VISA are accepted.

#### **EXCELLENCE IN ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING**

Thirty-four entries were received for 2009. The entries cover projects and programs in:

Research -- 3 University Research -- 3 Planning -- 3 Small Projects -- 2 Design -- 20 Small Firms -- 2 Operations/Management -- 1

The entries will be judged by an independent panel of experts electronically. The winning panels will be displayed during the Academy's 2009 Awards Luncheon on Wednesday, May 6.

#### SUSTAINABILITY CERTIFICATION

The Academy is considering adding a new specialty certification on sustainability.

Brian Flynn, Academy Vice President, is leading this effort. He is currently forming a work group that will better define the certification and its required competencies. This is the run-up to developing an exam and getting the certification rolled out to our members.

The Sustainability Work Group needs volunteers. If you have experience with any of the following: sustainability, climate change, carbon footprints and offsets, green building, environmental impacts from energy sources, etc. and are willing to help, please contact Brian at 303-521-1611 or BFlynn4290@aol.com.

♦ Continued on 14 ♦

BY JOSEPH S. CAVARRETTA, CAE

# NEVER WASTE A GOOD CRISIS

AS WE RIDE THE DUSTY PLAINS OF ECONOMIC RECESSION, choking on the heels of investment and banking failures and outright fraud and theft in unprecedented proportions, we are relentlessly bombarded by news of global climate change, the Great Pacific Garbage Patch, terrorism threats and new insurgencies, contaminated foods, aging infrastructure, and more.

We are in one serious crisis, America. Because of its complexities, it may comprise the most serious permutation of challenges that America has ever faced. Conversely, Americans are tough enough to overcome these challenges. Even now, we are slapping the dust off our shoulders and gearing up to build a stronger America. We will rebuild America. We will solve our nation's woes. We will lead solutions to environmental problems globally. We'll do it sooner than anyone predicts. The worst will soon be over if it is not already over.

Truly, engineers and engineering professionals – especially environmental practitioners, have unprecedented opportunities to become the kinds of heros and global champions for which America is historically famous and whom we implicitly trusted.

Speaking of trust, do these names sound familiar? DeLorean, Paine Webber (aka UBS), Aldelphia, EF Hutton (scooped up by Lehman Brothers then CitiGroup), Drexel Burnham Lambert (Michael Milken), MCI World Com, Standard Oil, Enron, Arthur Andersen (Enron's Auditor)? How about JP Morgan, Merrill Lynch, Bear Stearns, Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae, Citibank, AIG, Lehman Brothers. What about names such as Bernard Madoff? While it may not be fair to lump all of the above into one paragraph, the point is one only has to read the names to understand why a big lack of trust exists today in America. No profession, including environmental engineering, can afford to follow a parallel path, not if we want America to remain a world leader.

#### **TRUST BUT VERIFY**

Building trust is vital to solving our problems. Everyone is on the lookout for bad players. The whole world is watching. We must build trust in our institutions, trust in business, trust in our values, trust in our partnerships, and trust among our neighbors. Transparency is paramount. For environmental practitioners to become America's superheros and global champions—to achieve meaningful success, we will need to demonstrate consistent core business ethics and practices, verify our expertise, and create groundbreaking success on new frontiers.

More than ever, American industries and professionals are under Grassroots America's microscope. Nothing does more to "Trust but Verify" than certification. While even the best credentials will not prevent a bad player from being bad, they surely help reduce the risk and virtually guarantee certain levels of expertise. Professional licensure and AAEE's BCEE/Diplomate (and the more recent BCEEM) designation, are examples of historical certifications attesting to excellence in practice. No question, many non-certified engineering practitioners and educators are extremely well qualified and may not feel a need to seek licensing or certification. But in this fast-paced world, credentials are the quickest way to begin the process of trust and verification. Certifications are to professional practice as trees and shrubs are to soil: They help to prevent erosion.

Of course, without industry support, credentials lose their brand value, which decreases demand. With fewer credentialed professionals, the risk of erosion dramatically increases. More bad players begin competing for limited projects, promising the impossible at fire-sale prices. Financially strapped clients might take the bait, projects fail, industry reputation is damaged, and everyone loses. That is how Bernard Madoff managed to carry on his Ponzi scheme. Few felt qualified to challenge his practices. This is why it is incumbent upon everyone in all fields and industries to support the growth and perpetuation of certifications. This is why AAEE was created 55 years ago by expert environmental engineers and sponsored by some of the most important engineering associations in America: To provide a measure of verifiable expertise in specialty areas of environmental engineering.

Environmental engineers and practitioners are gearing up to meet and mitigate the potentially catastrophic challenges of the 21st Century. They will be combining existing methodologies with state-of-the-art technologies to pioneer new solutions. Keep in mind the value and role that certification will play, not only in building trust with your partners, clients, and grassroots America, but also as a reliable verification of your own organization's competencies. GEORGE E. KURZ, P.E., BCEE, led the "Sewer Rehabilitation Strategy Workshop" at the 15th Underground Construction Technology (UCT) International conference & Exhibition, held January 19, 2009, in San Antonio, Texas. Mr. Kurz is currently Senior Technical Leader with Barge Waggoner Sumner and Cannon, Inc. He has been certified in Water Supply and Wastewater Engineering since 1995.

#### WALTER R. NIESSEN, P.E., BCEE, was

presented with the 2008 American Society of Mechanical Engineer's Pioneer Award at the 27th Annual International Conference on Thermal Treatment Technologies, held in May 2008 in Montreal, Canada. Mr. Niessen is currently President of Niessen Consultants. He is a Life Member who has been certified in both Air Pollution Control and Solid Waste Management since 1974.

KIRANKUMAR "KUMAR" TOPUDURTI, PH.D., P.E., BCEE, won the 2008 Illinois Government Engineer of the Year Award, presented at the Illinois Society of Professional Engineers Annual Meeting this past July 2008. He also won the National Society of Professional Engineer's 2009 Federal Engineer of the Year Award, which was presented at the 30th Annual FEYA Banquet this past February. Dr. Topudurti, Deputy Director of the US Army Engineer R&D Center, has been certified in Hazardous Waste Management since 1997.

#### HILLEL SHUVAL, D.Sc., P.E., BCEE,

received the Award for Life Work Accomplishments in Protecting the Environment. He was presented with this award by Minister of Environmental Protection, Gidon Ezra, and the President of Israel, Mr. Shimon Peres, at a ceremony this past September 2008. Dr. Shuval is currently Head of the Department of Environmental Health Sciences for Hadassah Academic College-Jerusalem and Kunen-Lunenfeld Emeritus Professor of Environmental Sciences for The Hebrew University of Jerusalem. He is a Life Member and has been certified in Water Supply and Wastewater Engineering since 1980.

#### IN MEMORIAM

PETER A. KRENKEL, PH.D., P.E., BCEE, has passed away in June 2008. Dr. Krenkel was Professor and Dean Emeritus of the College of Engineering at the University of Nevada Reno. He was a Life Member and had been certified in Water Supply and Wastewater Engineering since 1975.

THOMAS E.VIK, P.E., BCEE, passed away in November 2008. Mr. Vik was Senior Vice President and Partner with The McMahon Group. Mr. Vik had served as the AAEE State Representative for Wisconsin since 1999. He had been certified in Water Supply and Wastewater Engineering since 1991. An extended profile can be found on page 8.

Looking for a qualified employee? Seeking a position?

# The Academy can help!

There is no charge for job seekers to post their resume, and recruiters can post available positions for a fee of \$250/position for a 30-day listing. Check our website at http://careers.aaee.net for more details.





## Thomas E.Vik, P.E., BCEE

Thomas E. Vik, P.E., BCEE, a Senior Vice President and Partner with The McMahon Group, Neenah, Wisconsin, passed away on Sunday November 16, 2008. His colleagues will remember him as an innovator and a relentless achiever. He was known literally around the world as one of the very best minds in the field of wastewater engineering. Vik, 57, died from causes related to lymphatic cancer that he had been battling for a year.

A graduate of the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee with a B.S. Degree in Environmental Engineering, Vik spent the past 28-years of his career as a Vice President and Wastewater Engineering Group Leader at McMahon, a full-service engineering and architectural consulting firm.

Vik was a Registered Professional Engineer in Wisconsin and eight other states. Throughout his career, he was active in numerous professional associations. His affiliations included the American Academy of Environmental Engineers (AAEE), Water Environment Federation (WEF), Central States Water Environment Association (CSWEA), Wisconsin Wastewater Operators Association (WWOA), Indiana Water Pollution Association, and the Wisconsin Paper Council.

Thomas E. Vik had been certified by AAEE since 1991 in Water Supply and Wastewater Engineering. Included in his service to the organization, he served as the State Representative of Wisconsin from 1999 to 2008. Mr. Vik served as Engineer-in-Charge of two award winning projects that McMahon Associates entered into the AAEE Excellence in Environmental Engineering Competition. Grand Chute Menasha West Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrade was the 1996 Grand Prize winner in Design, and Sturgeon Bay Utilities Biosolds Management Facility Improvements won the 1998 Grand Prize in Small Projects.

He was also a 4-time recipient of the Central States Water Environment Association (CSWEA) Radebaugh Award for Best Technical Paper, and an Award for Energy Innovation from the U.S. Department of Energy.

Lamers called Vik a pioneer in his field, receptive to new ideas and innovative technology. "He wasn't afraid to take risks, but he was always straightforward and honest with his clients. Tom was highly respected for those reasons, as well as being a truly generous man who cared for others more than himself".

He is survived by his wife Pat of 35-years, three children and three grandchildren.

Thank you to Kari L. Dennis, P.E., Marketing Director of the McMahon Group, for granting AAEE permission to reprint this News Release. AAEE Sponsored Technical Workshops in 2008: Impacts of Climate Change on Water and Wastewater Utilities

Michael W. Selna, P.E., BCEE & Patrick Griffith, P.E., BCEE

#### **SUMMARY**

To address growing concerns surrounding climate change and its potential impact the wastewater industry, AAEE partnered with the Water Environment Federation (WEF) to organize two workshops on this topic. Key venues were targeted to pair recognized experts with interested workshop participants to create active dialogue. The approach used in these workshops to engage the speakers and audience could serve as a model for other disciplines beginning their climate change discussions. The purpose of this brief article is to describe the structure and major outcomes of the two events held in conjunction with WEF and to direct the reader to more detailed information to be provided through AAEE and WEF.

#### INTRODUCTION

The Academy's five-year strategic plan outlines steps to achieve certain key goals addressing growth, visibility and its charter to advocate excellence in the profession. Aligned with these goals, the Academy Board of Trustees established an action item involving technical workshops associated with sponsoring organization conferences. Two such workshops were organized by AAEE volunteers in 2008 and conducted in conjunction with Water Environment Federation (WEF) events to highlight impact of global climate change on the water and wastewater industries.

The first AAEE/WEF workshop was held in conjunction with WEF's Sustainability 2008 specialty conference on June 22, 2008. The second was held on October 18, 2008 as a pre-conference workshop associated with WEFTEC 08. AAEE was fortunate to have excellent cooperation from WEF staff, and, in the case of the WEFTEC workshop, WEF's Air Quality and Odor Committee, chaired by Raymond Porter, was instrumental in conducting the workshop.

Both climate change workshops were organized to give participants background on climate change fundamentals; federal, regional, and state governmental approaches to regulation and legislation; adaptation strategies; mitigation potentials in wastewater treatment; design upgrades and associated carbon footprint; and climate change related research. Following presentations by experts on these topics, the presenters participated in a panel discussion answering questions submitted by the audience. Finally, each workshop culminated in an active breakout session, which provided an excellent opportunity for industry input on this important topic.

#### DISCUSSION

#### Climate Change Fundamentals

Human activities are very likely the cause of increased concentrations of greenhouse gases and recent acceleration in global temperatures according to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) in its Fourth Assessment Report. Climate models predict temperature gains of 1.1 to 6.6 degrees C by 2100 and accompanying rise of sea levels of 0.2 to 0.8 meters due to melting ice.

Climate change will result in redistribution of and increased intensity of precipitation, which will have impacts on wastewater collection and treatment facilities. Adaptation to these changes will be a challenge for wastewater utilities. Warmer water temperatures and lower stream flows will increase potential for receiving water impairment and more complex permitting. Areas with diminished rainfall will experience drinking water supply challenges, lower stream flow conditions that will make meeting effluent discharge standards more difficult, and wildfires that will result in runoff and flood impacts. Areas with increased precipitation will see more sewer overflows, more runoff and non-point pollution, and infrastructure overloading. Coastal impacts will include



wetlands displacement, saltwater intrusion to freshwater, changes in habitat/fisheries, and threats to water and wastewater infrastructure. These changes create the need for integrated water, storm water, and wastewater infrastructure planning and greater interagency coordination.

#### Federal Response

To address these climate change impacts, the USEPA Office of Water has developed five specific goals and 46 actions items to support the achievement of the goals. Key among mitigation strategies are improved energy efficiency and conservation at water and wastewater facilities. Adaptation strategies under review include evaluating the need for changes in drinking water, clean water, and effluent standards; creating new tools to assist watershed and wetland protection; and enhancing water infrastructure initiatives, which include sustainability guidance, clarification of the use of revolving loan funds, and the development of emergency response planning tools.

#### State and Regional Activity

Individual states and several regions within the US have developed initiatives aimed at reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. These programs are not specifically directed at water and wastewater utilities but impact these industries. Ten eastern states have formed the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI), the nation's first greenhouse gas cap-and-trade program. RGGI deals only with power plant  $CO_2$  emissions. The Western Climate Initiative (WCI) involves nine states and four Canadian provinces. Like RGGI and WCI, the Midwest GHG Reduction Accord, which involves six states and one Canadian province, is expected to set long-term GHG reduction targets. Among states, California and Florida were the first to establish aggressive GHG emission reduction goals. Fifteen additional states also have GHG emission reduction targets.

In addition to governmental actions, a non-governmental organization, The Climate Registry, gathers accurate, consistent GHG data into a registry for North America. The Registry will be valuable in documenting early actions to reduce GHGs and providing a cost-effective means to measure and record GHG emissions, thereby allowing entities to prepare for state and federal reporting.

#### Adaptation

Water and wastewater utilities can prepare for the effects of climate change by performing risk and vulnerability analyses. Key questions are: (a) What changes in climate are expected? (b) How will these changes impact the watershed environments in which wastewater utilities operate? (c) How vulnerable to these changes are the wastewater utilities? and (d) What can wastewater utilities do to manage risk? Many of the expected changes have been summarized above. Predictions of storm intensity indicate that by the year 2100 some major cities, such as Boston and Atlantic City, will experience what is presently regarded as a 100 year storm every two years, placing water and wastewater treatment facilities, normally constructed adjacent to water courses, at great risk. Profound impacts on receiving water quality are also anticipated due to increased temperature, lower flow in dry periods, and watershed impacts due to greater frequency of wildfires. Risk analysis can steer the utility toward management solutions that reduce vulnerability to these changes. Metro Vancouver has performed risk analyses providing a model of how a large wastewater utility is dealing with climate change vulnerability.

#### Mitigation

Wastewater treatment produces three major greenhouse gases (GHGs): carbon dioxide  $(CO_{2})$ , methane  $(CH_{4})$ , and nitrous oxide  $(N_{2}O)$ . In comparison to  $CO_{2}$ ,  $CH_{4}$  has 21 times the global warming potential and N<sub>2</sub>O has 310 times the potential. Overall, wastewater collection and treatment result in approximately three percent of human generated greenhouse gas emissions in the U.S. Even though this is a small portion of the total emissions, opportunities to mitigate GHGs from wastewater treatment are available in the form of improved energy efficiency, conversion of methane to energy and process controls that reduce N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Several of the presentations compared the GHG emissions of various wastewater treatment process configurations, including comparisons between aerobic and anaerobic processes. In general, if influent organic material can be converted to solids that are digested to produce  $CH_4$ , and if the  $CH_4$  is efficiently captured and converted to energy, wastewater treatment can be effective in producing net GHG reductions. Efficient aeration and use of N/DN aerobic processes can result in very low net GHG production. Models are being developed to predict GHG emissions for various process configurations. There is growing concern about release of N<sub>2</sub>O because of its GHG potential. By one estimate, the GHG potential in wastewater treatment from N<sub>2</sub>O released in N/DN processes may be on the same order of magnitude as CO<sub>2</sub> emissions related to removal of COD; however, it is estimated that wastewater management produces only two percent of all N<sub>o</sub>O releases.

#### **Design Considerations**

Adaptation, which involves designing systems to cope with the impacts of climate change, and mitigation, which involves designing systems to reduce GHG emissions, are both important sustainability concepts and are intertwined in an integrated design framework. Opportunities in integrated design include renewable energy incentives, carbon trading and increased demand for reclaimed water. Primary treatment is not expected to produce significant GHGs. Secondary treatment is anticipated to produce all three gases (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O). Anaerobic digestion and subsequent biosolids dewatering and combustion of digester gas can be expected to produce  $CO_{2}$  and  $CH_{4}$ . In addition, stationary and mobile sources associated with the wastewater treatment plant operation produce GHG emissions. Recycling biosolids to the land sequesters carbon in soil and plants. Avoidance of inorganic fertilizer use reduces GHG releases by reducing the use of fossil fuels in manufacture and transportation of the fertilizer, both of which dwarf the release of carbon in transport of biosolids. As an example of successful biosolids recycling to land, for all of 2007, the District of Columbia Water and Sanitation Authority Blue Plains treatment plant biosolids recycling to soil program avoided inorganic fertilizer use that would have released over 5,000 metric ton equivalents of CO<sub>3</sub>, and approximately 25,000 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> were sequestered in the soil.

#### Research

The Water Environment Research Foundation has established a research program related to climate change. Eight key research projects have been developed to address climate change.

- 1. Wastewater focused review of climate change knowledge and research organizations.
- 2. White paper on climate change impacts on the wastewater industry.
- 3. Wastewater vulnerability handbook.
- 4. Case studies of historic extreme events.
- 5. International toolbox for navigating climate change information.
- 6. Wastewater industry emissions inventory and verification handbook.
- Post discharge conversion of NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub> to GHG species like N<sub>2</sub>O.



8. Guidance on carbon trading for wastewater utilities.

Work on these projects has begun in the second half of 2008.

#### **Breakout Session**

Following the presentations and an industry panel discussion, groups of ten were formed to discuss climate change issues in the following format:

- a. List the four most important adaptation/mitigation strategies for the wastewater industry
- b. List major issues, gaps, or challenges related to these strategies.
- c. Needs assessment: what does the industry need in the following areas to effectuate successful adaptation/ mitigation
  - Legislation
  - Regulations
  - Research
  - Funding
  - Communication within industry and with public

The output of each group has been summarized and provides valuable insight as to the state of the wastewater industry's understanding of climate change issues. The discussion revealed that the industry faces great uncertainty with respect to the impacts of climate change and little clear definition at this point on future directions. The groups understandably found it far easier to identify gaps and needs than solutions. Common themes included: closing gaps in knowledge (measuring emissions, how to mitigate and adapt), gaining public trust (key to approving/funding/permitting new projects), increased funding, defining clear goals, emphasizing integrated solutions (including breaking down regulatory "silos" and flexibility in permitting), energy efficiency improvements, flexibility in design and promoting resource recovery and reuse. A few of the greatest needs identified were providing regulatory balance (considering tradeoffs between objectives such as nutrient control, energy efficiency, and N2O release), tools for measurement and performing vulnerability analyses, and funding incentives for innovation and improvement.

Summary reports providing greater detail on the two WEF related workshops will be available through AAEE and WEF in early 2009. Access to these reports will be highlighted on the respective organization websites: www.aaee.net and www.wef.org.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The following workshop presenters are acknowledged as providing the basis for this article:

Brent Burton, Metro Vancouver;
John Cromwell, Stratus Consulting;
Patrick Hogan, Pew Center for Climate Change;
Ann McCabe, The Climate Registry;
Perry McCarty, Stanford University;
Chris Peot, DC WASA;
Bob Raucher, Stratus Consulting;
Glenn Reinhardt, WERF;
Diego Rosso, UC Irvine;
Michael Shapiro, U.S. EPA;
Michael Stenstrom, UCLA;
Nancy Stoner, NRDC;
Claudio Ternieden, WERF; and
Jay Witherspoon, CH2M HILL. A

# THE 2009 KAPPE LECTURER

# RAOY. SURAMPALLI, PH.D., P.E., BCEE, F.AAAS

\* \* \* \*

#### Engineer Director United States Environmental Protection Agency

#### DR. RAO Y. SURAMPALLI,

Engineer Director with United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), has been with EPA for the past 23 years. His career in private practice, government, university and applied research has given him the opportunity to experience and appreciate the varied interests and challenges of the environmental engineering profession. His main expertise is in the area of water/wastewater treatment, sludge treatment/disposal, hazardous/solid waste management, and soil and groundwater treatment.

He has authored more than 400 technical publications, including 8 books, 42 book chapters, 142 refereed (peerreviewed) journal articles, presented at more than 190 national and international conferences, edited 12 refereed conference proceedings, and given over 60 plenary, keynote or invited presentations worldwide. Currently, he serves on many national and international committees, review panels, or advisory boards including the ASCE's National Committee on Energy, Environment and Water Policy. He is Editor of two well known refereed journals - the Water Environment Research Journal published by the Water Environment Federation (WEF), and the Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste Management Journal published by the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). He also serves on the Editorial Boards of three other refereed Environmental Journals. He is as well an Adjunct Professor of Environmental Engineering at six universities: Iowa State University-Ames, University of Missouri-Columbia, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, University of Quebec-Sainte Foy, Tongji University-Shanghai, and Missouri University of Science and Technology-Rolla. He also is an Honorary Professor in Sichuan University-Chengdu.

He has provided technical assistance, facilitated technology transfer, and built



technical capacity for numerous developed and developing nations including Brazil, India, Nepal, Taiwan, Japan, Thailand, Philippines, Namibia, Kazakhstan, Panama, Germany, Slovenia, Hong Kong, Ghana, China and Korea. A noteworthy humanitarian, his most recent voluntary contributions include working in India, Namibia, Kazakhstan and Panama to develop environmental protection and improvement programs. He was also selected to participate on a multi-disciplinary engineering team organized by the ASCE to evaluate the ecological and environmental impacts of the 2004 Indian Ocean Tsunami.

Named a Distinguished Engineering Alumnus of both the Oklahoma State University and Iowa State University, Dr. Surampalli was elected a Fellow of the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS) in 2005, and a Member of the European Academy of Sciences and Arts (EASA) in 2008. AAAS is the world's largest scientific society and election as a fellow recognizes an individual for his/her "efforts toward advancing science or fostering applications that are deemed scientifically or socially distinguished". He also is a Fellow of the American Society of Civil Engineers.

#### **EDUCATION**

Oklahoma State University, MS Iowa State University, Ph.D.

#### PROFESSIONAL CREDENTIALS

Registered Professional Engineer Board Certified Environmental Engineer, American Academy of Environmental Engineers Diplomate, American Academy of Water Resources Engineers Fellow, American Association for the Advancement of Science Fellow, American Society of Civil Engineers Member, European Academy of Sciences and Arts

#### PROFESSIONAL HONORS

National Government Civil Engineer of the Year State-of-the-Art of Civil **Engineering Award** Rudolph Hering Medal Wesley Horner Medal **Best Practice Oriented Paper** Award Founders Gold Medal National Federal Engineer of the Year Top Ten Federal Engineers of the Year Philip Morgan Award Scientific and Technological Achievement Award EPA Engineer of the Year **Outstanding Service Medal** Hollis Medal Distinguished Military Service Award Samuel Lin Award

### **ABSTRACTS OF LECTURES OFFERED**

#### Nanotechnology and the Environment

Nanotechnology presents new opportunities to create better materials and products. Applications of nano-materials in environmental protection have created conditions to improve environment and control pollution, which will bring breakthrough progress to environmental science and engineering. Using nano-materials to solve environmental issues will become an inexorable trend in the future. Applications of nano-materials in green chemistry, photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants, remediation of polluted soils or water, pollutant sensing and detection, and so on, have been introduced. Our economy will be increasingly affected by nanotechnology as more products containing nanomaterials move from research and development into production and commerce. Nanotechnology also has the potential to improve the environment, both through direct applications of nanomaterials to detect, prevent, and remove pollutants, as well as indirectly by using nanotechnology to design cleaner industrial processes and create environmental friendly products. However, there is a need for research to better understand and apply information regarding nanomaterials such as: chemical identification and characterization, environmental fate, environmental detection and analysis, potential releases and human exposures, human

health effects assessment, ecological effects assessment, and environmental technology applications. The presentation will discuss the potential environmental applications of nanomaterials and nanotechnologies.

#### Emerging Contaminants of Environmental Concern

Emerging contaminants of environmental concern have been wildly distributed in the environment and attracted increasing attention over the past decades. The emerging contaminants include endocrine-disrupting compounds, surfactants and their degradation products, plasticizers, pesticides, retardants, and nanoparticles. These compounds can enter the environment after their application, after use they are usually discharged into municipal sewer systems and afterwards treated in wastewater treatment plants, where they are completely or partially removed by a combination of sorption and biodegradation. Many studies have confirmed the presence of complex mixtures of unregulated contaminants, having various origins, and raised concern about their potential interactive effects. These substances among different phases (air, water and sediment/soil) in the environment are presented. Their occurrence and behavior (fate and transport) in natural and engineered systems including treatment are discussed. A

The Kappe Lecture Series was inaugurated by the Academy in 1989 to share the knowledge of today's practitioners with tomorrow's environmental engineers. It is an annually recurring series of lectures presented on college campuses during the Fall academic term.

This program was inspired by a grant from the estate of Stanley E. Kappe, P.E., BCEE, who passed away in 1986. Mr. Kappe served as the Academy's Executive Director from 1971 to 1981. He was a successful environmental engineer who believed he owed a debt to the profession that had rewarded him so well. During his life, he gave of himself to his university (Pennsylvania State University) and to his profession through countless hours of volunteer activity. Through this lecture series, he continues to share his good fortune with tomorrow's environmental engineers.

All colleges and universities with an environmental engineering program are eligible to participate. If you are interested in having a Kappe Lecturer visit your school, please contact Academy Headquarters.

# 2010 Officer Nominees

Full profiles and voting ballots will be available in the Spring issue of Environmental Engineer<sup>®</sup>.

#### PRESIDENT-ELECT

#### Brian P. Flynn, P.E., BCEE

Principal MRE, Inc.

#### VICE PRESIDENT

#### Matthew Dominy, P.E., BCEE

Vice President HNTB

#### Michael W. Selna, P.E., BCEE

Senior Advisor LA County Sanitation Districts

#### TRUSTEE-AT-LARGE

#### Brian D. Buckley, P.E., BCEE

Principal/Group Leader CDM

#### R.Tim Haug, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE

Deputy City Engineer City of Los Angeles

#### Ronald D. Neufeld, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE

Professor of Civil Engineering University of Pittsburgh

#### James F. Stahl, P.E., BCEE

VP, Senior Technical Advisor MWH Americas, Inc.

#### Academy News continued from page 14

#### ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER: APPLIED RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

Included in this issue of *Environmental Engi*neer is the newest volume of *Environmental Engineer: Applied and Research* (page 27). This edition includes **Mitigation of Ozone-In**duced Bromate by Carbon Dioxide and Chlorine/Ammonia Processes by David Eberle, Zaid Chowdhury, Laurel Passantino, and Steve Bontrager.

Journal Editor, Dr. C. Robert Baillod, P.E., BCEE, along with the Editorial Board, invites authors to submit their papers. Particularly of interest are papers focused on practical research and use case studies related to environmental engineering.



Stay Gonnected!

AAEE likes to keep its membership updated on news, events, reminders, and other pertinent information. If you haven't been receiving any emails from us but would like to, simply update your membership information with AAEE Headquarters. Email the Academy at info@aaee.net.

# AAEE does *not* sell or distribute emails to third parties.

# The Class of 2008

#### THESE INDIVIDUALS were Board Certified in November 2008.

From the first applicants in 1956 to the 110 Board Certified Environmental Engineers and Board Certified Environmental Engineering Members listed on the following pages, the Academy has undergone growth and changes, but has never wavered from it's core objective to "identify and credential persons with special capabilities in environmental engineering."

Today, there are nearly 2,500 Board Certified Environmental Engineers and Board Certified Environmental Engineering Members in the Academy and interest continues to grow on an annual basis.

A brief description of the specialty certification process follows: To be included in an annual class, the application for specialty certification must be submitted to the Academy by March 31. Any application received after that date is held over to the next class. The applications received by March 31 are then reviewed by the Admissions Committee for adequacy of education and qualifying experience in April and May. Examinations are administered to the qualified applicants during July and August at convenient locations throughout the country. The examination results are reviewed by the Admissions Committee in September and recommendations for each candidate are presented to the Board of Trustees. Each person's history is reviewed by the Board members at the Academy's Annual Meeting and decisions made to certify or not.

THE ACADEMY announces the issuance of specialty certificates and Board Certified Environmental Engineers and Board Certified Environmental Engineering Members status to those individuals portrayed in this special section of the Environmental Engineer®. These persons have demonstrated to their peers that they possess the requisite formal education and environmental engineering practical experience and have successfully completed the Academy's examinations to be Board-Certified environmental engineering specialists. The special capability of each person is shown after their name using the following codes:

- AP Air Pollution Control,
- GE General Environmental Engineering,
- HWHazardous Waste Management,SWIHIndustrial Hygiene,WWRPRadiation Protection,
  - SW Solid Waste Management,WW Water Supply and Wastewater Engineering.





#### Ronald G. Abraham, P.E., BCEE WW Senior Project Manager CDM

210 25th Avenue North #1102

Nashville, TN 37203 Mr. Abraham received his B.S. and M.S. degrees in Civil Engineering from South Dakota State University. He is a licensed P.E. in California and Tennessee with more than 21 years experience.



#### John V. Accashian, P.E., BCEE HW Program Manager

CDM 555 17th Street #1100

Denver, CO 80202 Mr. Accashian received his B.S. degree in Civil/Environmental and M.S. in Environmental Engineering from the University of Connecticut. He is a licensed P.E. in Colorado with more than 11 years experience.



#### Judy H. Alford, P.E., BCEE WW

Project Manager/ Ğroup Leader CDM

210 25th Avenue North #1102 Nashville, TN 37203

Ms. Alford received her B.S. degree in Chemical Engineering from Tennessee Technological University. She is a licenced P.E. in Tennessee and has more than 14 years experience.



#### Amrou Atassi, P.E., BCEE WW

Senior Project Engineer CDM 125 South Wacker Drive

#600

Chicago, IL 60606 Mr. Atassi received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from Valparaiso University and M.S. in Environmental Engineering from Purdue University. He is a licensed P.E. in Illinois with more than 9 years experience.



#### Daniel E. Averett, P.E., BCEE WW

Project Manager US Army R&D Center 3909 Halls Ferry Road

Vicksburg, MS 39180 Mr. Averett received his B.S. in Chemical Engineering and M.S. in Sanitary Engineering from Mississippi State University. He is a licensed P.E. in Mississippi with more than 33 years experience.



Chicago, Il 60601 Mr. Barnas received his B.S. in Civil/ Environmental from the University of Wisconsin-Madison. He is a licensed P.E. in Illinois with more than 23 years experience.

Somnath Basu, Ph.D.,

Senior Process Specialist

P.E., BCEE WW

50 Hampshire Street

#### BCEE WW Project Manager CDM

Ms. Booth received her B.S. in Environmental/Water from Vanderbilt University and her M.S. in Environmental Health from the University of Texas at Austin. She is a licensed P.E. in Texas with more

#### Theresa L. Brooks, P.E., BCEE WW Project Engineer

CĎM 125 Wacker Drive #600

Chicago, IL 60606 Ms. Brooks received her B.S. in Environmental Engineering from New Mexico Tech. She is a licensed P.E. in New Mexico with more than 13 years experience.



555 17th Street #1100

Mr. Brown received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from Oklahoma State University and his M.S. degree in Civil Engineering from the University of Illinois. He is a licensed P.E. in Colorado and California with more than 28 years experience.



Environmental Engineer I Jackson, MS 39225

Randa E. Chichakli,

9444 Farnham Street #210

San Diego, CA 92123

P.E., BCEE HW

Project Manager

CĎM

Ms. Chichakli received her B.S. in

Civil Engineering from the University

in California with more than 10 years

of Texas at Austin. She is a licensed P.E.

Ms. Chambers received her B.S. in Bio Engineering from Mississippi State University. She is a licensed P.E. in Mississippi with more than 15 years experience.



P.E., BCEE WW Project Manager CDM 5400 Glenwood Avenue #300

Raleigh, NC 27612 Mr. Buckley received his B.S. and M.S. in Civil Engineering from North Carolina State University. He is a licensed P.E. in North Carolina with more than 12 years experience.



Ph.D., P.E., BCEE WW Lead Process Engineer MWH Americas, Inc. 618 Michillinda Avenue #200

Arturo A. Burbano,

Arcadia, CA 91007 Dr. Burbano received his B.S. in Chemical Engineering and M.S. in Industrial Engineering from Escuela Politecnica, Ecuador, and Ph.D. in Environmental Engineering from the University of Cincinnati. He is a licensed engineer in California and has more than 15 years experience.



experience.

#### John D. Clark, P.E., BCEE SW

Senior Project Engineer HDR

East Gate Corporate Park 7 Coates #2 Goshen, NY 10924

Mr. Clark received his B.S. in Mechanical Engineering from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute. He is a licensed P.É. in New Hampshire and has more than 25 years experience.





#### Bret M. Casey, P.E., BCEE WW

Malcolm Pirnie, Inc. 1900 Polaris Parkway

Columbus, OH 43240-2020

Mr. Casev received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of Iowa. He is a licensed P.E. in Ohio with more than 19 years experience.















1715 North Westshore #875

Mr. Carballa received his B.S. Civil/Envi-ronmental from the University of South Florida and M.S. in Business Administration from the University of Florida. He is a licensed P.E. in Florida with more than 10 years experience.



# Associate



P.E., BCEE WW Senior Process Engineer

Denver, CO 80202-3910









CDM

Cambridge, MA 02139 Dr. Basu received his BS degree in

and has more than 27 years experience. Kenneth D. Beache, P.E., BCEE WW Vice President/COO

Shrewsberry &

Mr. Beache received his B.S in Architec-

ture from Howard University and M.S.

in Civil Engineering from Purdue Uni-

versity. He is a licensed P.E. in Indiana,

10 years experience.

years experience.

No Photo

Available

at time of

Publication

Kentucky and Florida and has more than

Associates, LLC

7168 Graham Road #100

Indianapolis, IN 46250

Debra A. Bogdanoff,

P.E., BCEE WW

LA County Sanitation

Whittier, CA 90601

Rudolph Bonaparte,

Ph.D., P.E., BCEE GE

Geosyntec Consultants

202 Summit Boulevard

President and CEO

Atlanta, GA 30319

NE #885

Civil Engineering from the University of

University of California at Berkeley. He

Texas at Austin and his M.S. and Ph.D.

in Geotechnical Engineering from the

is a licensed P.E. in Texas and Georgia

with more than 32 years experience.

Dr. Bonaparte received his B.S. in

Senior Engineer

Districts

Ms. Bogdanoff received her B.S. in

Polytechnic University and M.S. in

Civil Engineering from California State

Civil/Environmental from the University

of California, Berkeley. She is a licensed P.E. in California with more than 10





#### Richard P. Crane, P.E., BCEE WW Senior Project Manager

CDM Raritan Plaza 1, Raritan

Center

Edison, NJ 08818 Mr. Crane received his B.S. in Mechanical Engineering from City College of New York. He is a licensed P.E. in New York and New Jersey with more than 25 years experience.



#### Stephen T. Crowe, P.E., BCEE WW



Richmond, VA 23229 Mr. Crowe received his B.S. in Biology and M.S. in Civil/Environmental from the University of Maryland. He is a licensed P.E. in Maryland and Virginia with more than 9 years experience.



#### Sarah E. Cwikla, P.E., BCEE WW

Project Manager Stearns & Wheler, LLC 35 Corporate Drive #1000 Trumbull, CT 06611

Ms. Cwikla received her B.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of Connecticut, Storrs, MBA in Finance from the University of Connecticut, Stamford and M.S. in Environmental Engineering from Johns Hopkins University. She is a licensed P.E. in Connecticut with more than 8 years experience.

No Photo Available at time of	Marla E. Dalton, P.E., BCEE GE Executive Vice President ASCE 1801 Alexander Bell Drive Reston, VA 20191
Publication	1801 Alexander Bell Drive Reston VA 20191
	Reston, VA 20191

Ms. Dalton is a licensed P.E. in Virginia with more than 23 years experience.



#### Kevin M. Dodd, P.E., BCEE SW Project Engineer LA County Sanitation

Districts 1955 Workman Mill Road Whittier, CA 90601

Mr. Dodd received his B.S. in Mechanical Engineering and M.S. in Civil Engineering from Loyola-Marymount. He is a licensed P.E. in California and with more than 17 years experience.



#### Michael J. Freiman, P.E., BCEE WW

Environmental Engineer II MDEQ PO Box 2261

Jackson, MS 39225 Mr. Freiman received his B.S. in Civil Engineering and M.S. in Environmental Engineering from the University of Mississippi. He is a licensed P.É. in Mississippi and has more than 19 years experience.

#### Gary S. Gasperino, P.E., BCEE WW

Chief Executive Officer Groundswell Technologies, Inc.

1967 La Ramada Drive Camarillo, CA 93012 Mr. Gasperino received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from California Polytech and M.S. in Sanitary Engineering from Loyola University. He is a licensed P.E. in California with more than 35 years experience.

#### Kelvin S. George, P.E., BCEE WW

Project Manager Stearns & Wheler, LLC 16701 Melford Boulevard #330

Bowie, MD 20715 Mr. George received his B.S. in Civil Engineering and M.S. in Environmental York. He is a licensed P.E. in Maryland

Engineering from City College of New with more than 10 years experience.



#### Scott E. Harder, P.E., BCEE WW

President/CEO Environmental Financial Group 818 West 46th Street #204 Minneapolis, MN 55419

Mr. Harder received his B.S. in Math & Economics from St. Olaf and his M.S. in Civil Engineering from Colorado State University. He is a licensed P.E. in Minnesota with more than 28 years experience.



Mr. Hartz received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of North Dakota and M.S. in Civil Engineer ing from Stanford University. He is a licensed P.E. in Arkansas with more than 36 years experience.



#### James E. Hays, P.E., BCEE WW

Senior Associate Malcolm Pirnie, Inc. 1900 Polaris Parkway #200

Columbus, OH 43240-2020

Mr. Hays received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of Dayton. He is a licensed P.E. in Ohio with more than 24 years experience.



#### James K. Head, II, P.E., BCEE AP

Environmental Engineer MDEQ Air Division, PO Box 2261

Jackson, MS 39225 Mr. Head received his B.S. in Chemical

Engineering from the University of Mississippi. He is a licensed P.E. in Mississippi with more than 9 years experience.



#### Jason P. Heath, BCEEM ww

Program Manager ORSANCO

5735 Kellogg Avenue Cincinnati, OH 45228 Mr. Heath received his B.S. in Petro Engineering from West Virginia University and M.S. in Environmental Engineering from the University of Cincinnati. He has more than 19 years experience.

#### Robert M. Dilmore, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE WW Environmental Engineer

Science Applications International Corporation POB 19940, 626 Cochrans Mill Road

Pittsburgh, PA 15236 Dr. Dilmore received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of Dela-ware and M.S. in Civil/Environmental and Ph.D. in Environmental Engineering from the University of Pittsburgh. He is licensed in Pennsylvania and has more than 11 years experience.



9200 Ward Parkway #500 Kansas City, MO 64114 Mr. Floden received his B.S. and M.S.

degrees in Civil Engineering from South Dakota School of Mines. He is a licensed P.E. in Arkansas with more than 20 years experience.



BCEE HW Principal Engineer TRC 21 Griffin Road North

Patrick J. Fennell, P.E.,

Windsor, CT 06095 Mr. Fennell received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of Mis-souri-Columbia and M.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of Illinois, Urbana. He is licensed in Connecticut and has more than 33 years experience.

# CDM

Tampa, FL 33607 Mr. Gorrie received his B.S. in Environmental Engineering from the University of Florida. He is a licensed P.E. in Florida and Alabama with more than 17

years experience.







Houston, TX 77252 Dr. Ghurye received his B.S. in Chemistry and B.S. in Chemical Technology from the University of Bombay, India and his M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in Environmental Engineering from the University of Houston. He is a licensed P.E. in Texas with

CDM

#875

1715 North Westshore

#### more than 12 years experience. Jason M. Gorrie, P.E., BCEE AP Client Service Manager





NE Ohio Regional Sewer District 3900 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, OH 44115

Gregory W. Druback,

104 Corporate Park Drive

P.E., BCEE SW

Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.

Project Manager

Residuals & Air Emissions

P.E., BCEE WW

Mr. Dominak received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from Cleveland State University. He is a licensed P.E. in Ohio and has more than 33 years experience.

# Robert P. Dominak,



# The Class o



#### Thomas D. Hempstead, P.E., BCEE WW Project Manager

CDM 6365 NW 6th Way Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33309

Mr. Hempstead received his B.S. in Physics from Ŝtate University of New York at Stony Brook. He is a licensed P.E. in Florida and has more than 31 years experience.



#### James D. Herberg, P.E., BCEE WW

Director of Engineering Orange County Sanitation District 10844 Ellis Avenue

Fountain Valley, CA 92708 Mr. Herberg received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of Oklahoma-Norman and M.S. in Environmental Engineering from California State University. He is a licensed P.E. in California with more than 22 years experience.

No Photo	
Available	

at time of

experience.

#### Susan K. Hill, P.E., BCEE HW

Principal and Vice President Geosyntec Consultants Publication 1420 Kensington Road

#103 Oak Brook, IL 60523 Ms. Hill is a licensed P.E. in Illinois and Virginia with more than 30 years



Mr. Johnson received his B.S. in Environmental Science from Southern Connecticut University and M.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of Connecticut. He is a licensed P.E. in Connecticut with more than 27 years experience.

BCEE WW

CDM

#301

Mr. Keitel received his B.S. in Civil Engi-

neering from Iowa State University and

the University of Iowa. He is a licensed

P.E. in Iowa and has more than 32 years

M.S. in Business Administration from

experience.

Dennis J. Keitel, P.E.,

Senior Project Manager

Cedar Rapids, IA 52402

4015 Glass Road NE



#### Jinsheng Huo, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE WW

Senior Engineer/Technical Director AECOM

4415 Metro Parkway #404

Ft. Myers, Fl 33916 Dr. Huo received his Ph.D. in Environmental Engineering from the University of Tennessee-Knoxville. He is a licensed P.E. in Ohio and Florida with more than 9 years experience.



#### Robert S. Isabel, P.E., BCEE WW

Principal Engineer CDM 3715 Northside, Building 300 #400

Atlanta, GA 30327 Mr. Isabel received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of Cincinnati and M.S. in Environmental Engineering from the University of North Carolina. He is a licensed P.É. in Georgia with more than 9 years experience.

#### Shahram Kharaghani, No Photo Ph.D., P.E., BCEE WW Available Division Manager at time of Water Protection City of Los Angeles Publication

1149 South Broadway 10th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90015 Dr. Kharaghani received his B.S. in Mechanics & Structures from UCLA and M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in Civil Engineering from USC. He is a licensed P.E. in California with more than 28 years experience.



#### Jeffrey K. King, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE WW

Chief, Permits, Coastal Branch US Army Corps of Engineers 100 West Oglethorpe, POB 889

Savannah, GA 31402-0889 Dr. King received his B.S. in Biochemistry from Florida State University, M.S. in Environmental Toxicology from Johns Hopkins University and Ph.D. in Environmental Engineering from Georgia Institute of Technology. He is a licensed P.E. in Georgia and has more than 12 years experience.

#### Michael S. Krabacher, P.E., BCEE WW

Project Manager CDM 8805 Governor's Hill Drive #260

Cincinnati, OH 45249 Mr. Krabacher received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of Cincinnati and M.S. in Project Management from Northwestern University He is a licensed P.E. in Ohio and Kentucky with more than 11 years experience.



BCEE WW Supervising Operations Engineer LA County Sanitation

Districts 24501 South Figueroa Street

Carson, CA 90745 Mr. Krai received his B.S. in Chemical Engineering from the University of Colorado/Boulder and M.S. in Civil Engineering from Loyola Marymount University. He is a licensed P.E. in California with more than 16 years experience.

#### Gary R. Kramer, Sc.D., P.E., BCEE AP President

Kramer & Associates, Inc. 4501 Bogan NE, Suite A-1

Albuquerque, NM 87109 Dr. Kramer received his B.S. in Chemical Engineering, M.S. in Civil Engineering and Sc.D. in Civil/Chemical from New Mexico State University. He is a licensed P.E. in Texas with more than 38 years experience.



#### Thomas F. Lachcik, P.E., BCEE WW Associate

Malcolm Pirnie, Inc. 1515 East Woodfield Road #360

Schaumburg, IL 60173 Mr. Lachcik received his B.S. degree in Civil Engineering from Rochester Institute of Technology. He is a licensed P.E. in Pennsylvania with more than 17 years experience.



#### Joseph L. Laliberte, P.E., BCEE WW Project Manager

CDM 670 North Commercial Street #201 Manchester, NH 03101

Mr. Laliberte received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from Merrimack College and M.S. in Environmental Engineering from Northeastern University. He is a licensed P.E. in New Hampshire with more than 9 years experience.



#### Stacey L. Lamer, P.E., BCEE WW

Project Manager Bartlett & West Engineers, Inc. 628 Vermont Street

Lawrence, KS 66044 Ms. Lamer received her B.S. in Chemical Engineering from the University of Kansas. She is a licensed P.E. in Kansas with more than 8 years experience.



#### Benjamin Levesque, P.E., BCEE WW

Project Manager CĎM 56 Exchange Terrace

Providence, RI 02903 Mr. Levesque received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of New Hampshire and M.S. degree in Environmental Engineering from the University of Massachusetts-Amherst. He is a licensed P.E. in Rhode Island with more than 8 years experience.



#### Kit Y. Liang, P.E., BCEE

Project Manager Malcolm Pirnie, Inc. 104 Corporate Park Drive

White Plains, NY 10602 Ms. Liang received her BS in Chemical Engineering from The Cooper Union and M.S. in Finance from Fordham University. She is a licensed P.E. in New York with more than 23 years experience.



#### Timothy R. Logiotatos, P.E., BCEE WW

Project Engineer/Manager Montgomery Water Works 22 Bibb Street

Montgomery, AL 36102 Mr. Logiotatos received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from Auburn University. He is a licensed P.E. in Alabama and has more than 21 years experience.



Gary R. Johnson, P.E.,

Larry D. Jacobson,

Ph.D., P.E., BCEE GE

Professor and Extension

University of Minnesota

Building 1390 Eckles St. Paul, MN 55108-6005

Peter R. Jaffe, Ph.D.,

Professor Civil & Environ-

mental Engineering Princeton University

Princeton, NJ 08544

Room 210, BioAgEng

Engineer

Dr. Jacobson is a licensed P.E. in Minne-

sota with more than 40 years experience.

**BCEEM GE** 

Dr. Jaffe received his B.S. in Chemical

No Photo

Available

at time of

Publication

experience.



Randall C. Osburn,

3733 National Drive #207

P.E., BCEE WW

Raleigh, NC 27612

Project Manager

HDR

Mr. Osburn received his B.S. in Civil

Health from the University of Kansas.

than 18 years experience.

Engineering and M.S. in Environmental

He is a licensed P.E. in Kansas with more



#### Steven V. Lynk, P.E., BCEE WW Associate

CDM 12357A Riata Trace Parkway #210 Austin, TX 78727

Mr. Lynk received his B.S. and M.S. degrees in Civil Engineering from Texas A&M University. He is a licensed P.E. in Texas with more than 28 years experience.



#### David R. Mahaffay, P.E., BCEE WW

Senior Partner Black & Veatch 2850 East Camelback Road #240

Phoenix, AZ 85016 Mr. Mahaffay received his B.S. and Masters degrees in Civil Engineering from Oklahoma State University and MBA in Business Administration from the Southern Illinois University. He is a licensed P.E. in Missouri with more than 33 years experience.



#### Vincent M. Maillard, P.E., BCEE WW

Project Manager Stearns and Wheler, LLC. 16701 Melford Boulevard Bowie, MD 20715

Mr. Maillard received his B.S. in Environmental Engineering from Michigan Tech University and M.S. in Environmental Engineering from Virginia Tech. He is a licensed P.E. in Maryland with more than 9 years experience.



#### Marcia A. McCutchan, P.E., BCEE WW

Executive Vice President RHMG Engineers 975 Campus Drive

Mundelein, IL 60060 Ms. McCutchan received her B.S. in Agricultural Engineering from the Univer-sity of Illinois and M.S. in Agricultural Engineering from VPI. She is a licensed P.E. in Illinois with more than 21 years experience.



#### Mark L. Meech, BCEEM HW

Senior Environmental Engineer Jacobs Engineering Group 111 Corning Road #200 Cary, NC 27518

Mr. Meech received his B.S. Chemical Engineering from North Carolina State University. He has more than 25 years experience.



Providence, RI 02903 Ms. Mello received her B.S. in Civil Engineering and M.S. in Environmental Engineering from Worcester Polytechnic Institute. She is a licensed P.E. in Rhode Island with more than 11 years experience.

SW

Alex Mena, P.E., BCEE

Senior Engineer

Districts

Whittier, CA 90601 Mr. Mena received his B.S. and M.S.

degrees in Civil/Environmental from

UČLA. He is a licensed P.E. in Califor-

nia with more than 10 years experience.

LA County Sanitation

1955 Workman Mill Road



#### Benjamin R. Mosher, P.E., BCEE WW

Senior Project Manager CDM 670 North Commercial

Street Manchester, NH 03101

Mr. Mosher received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of Rhode Island and M.S. in Civil/Environmental from MIT. He is a licensed P.E. in New Hampshire with more than 9 years experience.

#### Daniel R. Murphy, P.E., BCEE WW

100 Great Meadow Road

Wethersfield, CT 06109 Mr. Murphy received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from Northeastern University and M.S. in Environmental Engineering from the University of New Haven. He is a licensed P.E. in Connecticut with more than 15 years experience.

CDM

Project Manager

#### John R. Owen, P.E., BCEE WW

Environmental Specialist II EPA PO Box 1049

Columbus, OH 43216 Mr. Owen received his B.S. in Chemical Engineering from Tri-State University. He is a licensed P.E. in Ohio and has more than 17 years experience.





2901 East Lake Road Erie, PA 16531 Mr. Novotny received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from State University of

New York at Buffalo. He is a licensed P.E. in New York and has more than 18

### John P. Nyznyk, P.E., BCEE HW

Principal Engineer CDM

100 Pringle Avenue #300 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Mr. Nyznyk received his B.S. in Biology from the University of California, and his M.S. degrees in Environmental Science and Environmental Engineering from Washington State University. He is a licensed P.E. in Washington and California with more than 22 years experience.

#### David A. O'Connor, P.E., BCEE WW Senior Project Manager

TBE Group 380 Park Place Boulevard #300

Clearwater, FL 33757 Mr. O'Connor received his B.S. in Environmental Engineering from the University of Central Florida. He is a licensed P.E. in Florida with more than 12 years experience.



#### Kurt D. Pennell, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE HW

Professor Georgia Institute of Technology 311 Ferst Drive, 3224 ES&T Building Atlanta, GA 30332

Dr. Pennell received his B.S. in Forest Resources from the University of Maine, his M.S. in Forest Resources from North Carolina State University, and his Ph.D. in Soil & Water Science from the University of Florida. He is a licensed P.E. in Georgia with more than 17 years experience.



#### Kristina L. Perri, P.E., BCEE WW

Project Engineer Stearns & Wheler, LLC 16701 Melford Boulevard #330

Bowie, MS 20715

Ms. Perri received her B.S. in Civil Engi-neering and her M.S. in Environmental Engineering from Virginia Tech. She is a licensed P.E. in Virginia and Maryland with more than 10 years experience.



#### Tarek D. Pinto, P.E., BCEE AP

Senior Associate Malcolm Pirnie, Inc. 1900 Polaris Parkway #200

Columbus, OH 43240 Mr. Pinto received his B.S. in Chemical Engineering from the University of Tennessee and his M.S. degree in Environmental Engineering from the University of Cincinnati. He is a licensed P.E. in Ohio with more than 16 years experience.





Chief, Public Water Supply NC Department of ENR at time of 1634 Mail Service Center Publication Raleigh, NC 27699 Ms. Miles received her B.S. and M.S.

degrees in Environmental Engineering from the University of Florida. She is a licensed P.E. in North Carolina with more than 22 years experience.







670 North Commercial Street

Mr. Meserve received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of New Hampshire. He is a licensed P.E. in New Hampshire with more than 30 years experience.

James R. Mihelcic,

Ph.D., BCEEM GE

Professor Civil &

ENB 118

University. He has more than 26 years

experience.



# The Class o



#### William O. Randall, P.E., BCEE WW Associate

Stearns & Wheler, LLC 2100 West Laburnum Avenue #108A Richmond, VA 23227

Mr. Randall received his B.S. in Civil Engineering and M.S. in Environmental Engineering from VPI. He is a licensed P.E. in Virginia with more than 16 years experience.



#### V. "Ravi" Ravisangar, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE WW

Principal Engineer CDM 3715 Northside, Building 300 #400

Atlanta, GA 30327 Dr. Ravisangar received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka, and a M.S. in both Environmental Engineering and Civil Engineering and a Ph.D. in Civil/Environmental from Georgia Institute of Technology. He is a licensed P.E. in Georgia with more than 11 years experience.



#### Allison G. Rodieck, P.E., BCEE WW

Senior Project Engineer Malcolm Pirnie, Inc. 1525 Faraday Avenue #290

Carlsbad, CA 92008 Ms. Rodieck received her B.S. in Environmental Systems from the University of Pennsylvania and her M.S. degree in Environmental Systems from Clemson University. She is a licensed P.E. in California and has more than 11 years experience.



#### Mark D. Ryan, P.E., BCEE WW

Program Manager CDM 6000 Uptown Boulevard NE #200

Albuquerque, NM 87110 Mr. Ryan received his B.S. in Agricultural Engineering from Colorado State University. He is a licensed P.E. in California and New Mexico with more than 28 years experience.



#### Alan J. Saikkonen, P.E., BCEE WW

Senior Project Manager CDM One General Motors Drive

Syracuse, NY 13206 Mr. Saikkonen received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from Syracuse University. He is a licensed P.E. in New York with more than 36 years experience.



Project Engineer Stearns & Wheler, LLC

Cazenovia, NY 13035 Mr. Salzman received his B.S. in Chemical Engineering from Clarkson University and M.S. in Environmental Engineering from the State University of New York at Syracuse. He is a licensed P.E. in New York with more than 14 years experience.

BCEE WW

CĎM

Jacksonville, FL 32256 Ms. Samel received her B.S. and M.S.

degrees in Environmental Engineering

from the University of Florida. She is a

licensed P.E. in North Carolina and has

more than 10 years experience.

Project Manager

Leslie S. Samel, P.E.,

8381 Dix Ellis Trail #400



BCEE WW Program/Group Manager PBS&J 101 Arthur Anderson

Parkway #260 Sarasota, FL 34232

Robert C. Sharek, P.E.,

Mr. Sharek received his B.S. in Environmental Engineering and M.S. in Water Resources from the University of Central Florida. He is a licensed P.E. in Florida with more than 10 years experience.



Elaine Sistare, P.E., BCEE WW Project Manager CDM

Providence, RI 02903 Ms. Sistare received her B.S. in Civil Engineering and M.S. in Environmental Engineering from Worcester Polytechnic Institute. She is a licensed P.E. in Massachusetts and Rhode Island with more than 9 years experience.

#### John H. Skinner, Ph.D., BCEEM SW

SWANA 1100 Wayne Avenue #700

Silver Spring, MD 20910 Dr. Skinner received his B.S. in Engineering Science from Hofstra University and his M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in Aeronautical Engineering from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute. He has more than



Chemical Engineer 3050 Post Oak Boulevard

Houston, TX 77056 Mr. St. Clair received his B.S. in Chemical Engineering from VPI. He is a licensed P.E. in Texas and has more than 28 years experience.



Senior Program Manager 15 British American Boulevard

Latham, NY 12110 Mr. Stuart received his B.S. in Civil Engineering and M.S. in Environmental Engineering from SUNY at Buffalo. He is a licensed P.E. in the New York with more than 17 years experience.



Available	BCEE WW
Available	Deputy Executive Director
at time of	ORSÁNCO
Publication	Deputy Executive Director ORSANCO 5735 Kellogg Avenue

Cincinnati, OH 45228 Mr. Tennant received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from Northeastern University. He is a licensed P.E. in Ohio with more than 36 years experience.





56 Exchange Terrace

Executive Director & CEO

44 years experience.



HW Senior Project Manager CDM

Raritan Plaza 1, Raritan Center Edison, NJ 08818

Laureen P. Sullivan,

P.E., BCEE WW

Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.

100 Fillmore #200

Denver, CO 80206

Anthony N. Tafuri, P.E., BCEE WW

2890 Woodbridge Avenue

Kershu Tan, P.E., BCEE

Supervisory EE/

Branch Chief

US EPA

Edison, NJ 08837 Mr. Tafuri received his B.S. in Environ-

mental Science from Hofstra University,

M.S. in Civil Engineering from Colum-

bia University and M.S. in Sanitary from

New York University. He has more than

Ms. Sullivan received her B.S. in Me-

of Massachusetts and M.S. degree in

Colorado State University. She is a

20 years experience.

45 years experience.

Civil/Environmental Engineering from

chanical Engineering from the University

licensed P.E. in Colorado with more than

Project Manager

Mr. Tan received his B.S. in Hydraulic Engineering Feng-Chia University Taiwan and M.S. in Civil Engineering from the New Jersey Institute of Technology. He is a licensed P.E. in Connecticut and New Jersey with more than 18 years experience.



#### Karl E. Tanner, P.E., BCEE WW

**Client Services Manager** CDM

151 North Delaware Street #1520 Indianapolis, IN 46204

Mr. Tanner received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from the University of Vermont. He is a licensed P.E. in Indiana with more than 13 years experience.



Ph.D., P.E., BCEE WW 325 Fennel Street Plattsville, Ontario, Canada N0J 1S0

James E. Scholl, P.E.,

Director of Water Resources

Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.

Square #400

Mr. Scholl received his B.S. in Environ-

mental Science from the University of

Michigan and M.S. in Environmental

Florida. He is a licensed P.E. in Florida

and Michigan with more than 30 years

Engineering from the University of

#100

more than 27 years experience.

experience.

Lansing, MI 48933

101 South Washington

Michael A. Sevener,

KCI Technologies, Inc.

14502 Greenview Drive

P.E., BCEE WW

Project Manager

Laurel. MD 20708

Mr. Sevener received his B.S. in Civil En-

gineering from the University of Florida

and M.S. in Environmental Éngineering from the University of Politencia, Spain. He is a licensed P.É. in Maryland with

Dr. Schmidtke received his B.S. and M.S. degrees in Civil Engineering from the University of Alberta and his Ph.D. degree in Civil Engineering from the University of Waterloo. He is a licensed P.E. in Ontario with more than 45 years experience.

BCEE WW







#### Scott D. Trainor, P.E., BCEE SW Project Manager

CDM 1601 Belvedere Road #211 South West Palm Beach, FL 33406

Mr. Scott received his B.S. in Electrical Engineering from the University of Flor-ida and M.S. Environmental Engineering from the University of Central Florida. He is a licensed P.É. in Florida with more than 12 years experience.



#### Leslie Ann Turner, P.E., BCEE WW Senior Project Engineer CDM

2301 Maitland Center Parkway #300 Maitland, FL 32751

Ms. Turner received her B.S. in Environmental Engineering from the University of Central Florida. She is a licensed P.E. in Florida with more than 10 years experience.



#### Taylor F. Turner, III, P.E., BCEE WW Project Manager

R. Štuart Royer and

Associates 1100 Welborne Drive Richmond, VA 23229

Mr. Turner received his B.S. in Civil Engineering from Virginia Tech and M.S. in Business from the University of Texas. He is a licensed P.E. in Virginia with more than 36 years experience.



#### Kartik Vaith, P.E., BCEE WW Project Manager

CDM 8381 Dix Ellis Trail, S-400

Jacksonville, FL 32256 Mr. Vaith received his B.S. in Chemical Engineering from the Institute of Technology, BHU, India and M.S. in Chemical Engineering from Michigan Tech University. He is a licensed P.E. in Florida with more than 19 years experience.



#### Robert A. Velasco, P.E., BCEE WW Senior Project Manager

CDM 1715 North Westshore Boulevard #875

Tampa, FL 33596 Mr. Velasco received his B.S. in Chemical Engineering from the University of South Florida and M.S. in Environmental Engineering from Purdue University. He is a licensed P.E. in Louisiana and Florida with more than 13 years experience.



Mr. Vicari received his B.S. and M.S. degrees in Civil Engineering from Purdue University. He is a licensed P.E. in Indiana with more than 9 years experience.



Supervising Engineer City of Houston Department of Public

Works 611 Walker Street 21st Floor Houston, TX 77002 Mr. Wang received his B.S. in Civil Engi-

neering from Tongji University and M.S. degree in Environmental Engineering from the University of Missouri-Rolla. He is a licensed P.É. in Texas with more than 14 years experience.

#### Charles M. Wolf, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE WW Senior Associate/ Project Manager Malcolm Pirnie, Inc. 4646 East Van Buren Street #400

Phoenix, AZ 85008 Dr. Wolf received his B.S. and M.S. degrees in Civil Engineering and his Ph.D. degree in Environmental Engineering from the Texas A&M University. He is a licensed P.E. in Arizona with more than 9 years experience.

#### Thomas W. Woodrow, Jr., P.E., BCEE WW Senior Project Manager CDM 2740 Smallman Street

Pittsburgh, PA 15222 Mr. Woodrow received his B.S. in Environmental Engineering from Penn State University and his M.S. Management from Robert Morris College. He is a licensed P.E. in Pennsylvania with more than 18 years experience.

#### Fenghua Yang, P.E., BCEE WW

Project Manager Lintech Engineering 1496 Merchant Drive Algonquin, IL 60102 Ms. Yang received her B.S. in Envi-

ronmental Engineering from Hefei University of Tech and M.S. in Civil Engineering from the National University of Singapore. She is a licensed P.E. in Ohio with more than 8 years experience.

AREYOU & CANDIDATE TO BECOME & BOARD CERTIFIED ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER OR BOARD **CERTIFIED ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING MEMBER?** 

When it comes to Environmental Engineering, nothing matters more than choosing the right professional to handle the job.

For more than fifty years, there's been a superior way of making the distinction.

That distinction is the peer-reviewed Board Certified Environmental Engineer (BCEE) or Board Certified Environmental Engineering Member (BCEEM).

The BCEE and BCEEM titles are internationally-recognized certifications granted by the American Academy of Environmental Engineers<sup>®</sup>. AAEE has the only certification process for professional environmental engineering specialists with these proven qualifications:

- A bachelors or advanced college degree in engineering or a related field
- A minimum of 8 years of full-time professional experience
- A Professional Engineer's License in one or more states (not required for BCEEM)
- Successful completion of written and oral examinations
- Required continuing practice and ongoing professional education

To download application materials, go to http://www.aaee.net/DownloadCenter. Please note: If you have 16 or more years of experience, you may request to be exempted from the written exam. Approval of exemption requests rests with the Admissions Committee.

Be sure to download the BCEE or BCEEM Application form and the Application Instructions. Once your application is approved, you will receive a "Guidance" with examination details, sample test questions, and examination information resources.



# AAEE at WEFTEC'08AAEE at WEFTEC'08AAEE at WEFTEC'08AAEE at WEFTEC'08

The American Academy of Environmental Engineers returned as an exhibor at the WEFTEC trade show, held as part of the Water Environment Federation's 81st Annual Exhibition & Technical Conference. The 2008 event was held in Chicago, Illinois on October 19 through October 22.

A number of Academy members volunteered to staff the booth, which drew a steady flow of traffic. In addition to current members, many prospective Academy members stopped to visit.



Three AAEE Past Presidents: Charles A. Willis, P.E., BCEE (1997), Robert C. Marini, P.E., BCEE (1995), and Jeanette A. Brown, P.E., BCEE (2004)



Charles A. Sorber, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE, Mohamed F. Dahab, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE, and Charles A. Willis, P.E., BCEE.



Catherine Graef, AAEE Executive Director Joseph S. Cavarretta, CAE, Stephen F. Graef, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE, and Michael W. Selna, P.E., BCEE



AAEE Executive Director Joseph S. Cavarretta, CAE, and Immediate Past President William P. Dee, P.E., BCEE.



Michael W. Selna, P.E., BCEE, James F. Stahl, P.E., BCEE, and Mohamed F. Dahab, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE

# AAEE at WEFTEC'08AAEE at WEFTEC'08AAEE at WEFTEC'08AAEE at WEFTEC'08



Michael W. Selna, P.E., BCEE, John H. Koon, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE and Joseph S. Cavarretta, CAE.



Joseph S. Cavarretta, CAE, and Stephen F. Graef, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE, assemble the AAEE Booth.



The completed AAEE Booth.



Richard F. Lanyon, P.E, BCEE, and Glen T. Daigger, Ph.D, P.E., BCEE.



Allan L. Poole, P.E., BCEE.



Joseph S. Cavarretta, CAE, and Karen L. Pallansch, P.E., BCEE

# AAEE at WEFTEC'08AAEE at WEFTEC'08AAEE at WEFTEC'08AAEE at WEFTEC'08



Glen T. Daigger, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE, Richard F. Lanyon, P.E., BCEE, Tanju Karanfil, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE, and Manju Prakash Sharma, P.E., BCEE.



Joseph S. Cavarretta, CAE, Karen L. Pallansch, P.E., BCEE, Alan H.Vicory, Jr., P.E., BCEE, and Charles A.Willis, P.E., BCEE.



Tanju Karanfil, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE.



Glen T. Daigger, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE, and Michael W. Selna, P.E., BCEE.



The AAEE Booth enjoyed a steady flow of traffic from both current and new prospective members.

Thank You Thank AAEE would like to give a special nk You Thank You Thank You Thank You Thank You Thank You to Steve Graef for supplying the Thank You Thank You





Volume 7, Winter 2009

# Environmental Engineer: Applied Research and Practice

#### **Instructions to Contributors**

#### PURPOSE AND SCOPE

*Environmental Engineer: Applied Research and Practice*, is a peer-reviewed journal focused on practical research and useful case studies related to the multi-disciplinary field of environmental engineering. The journal strives to publish useful papers emphasizing technical, real-world detail. Practical reports, interesting designs and evaluations of engineering processes and systems are examples of appropriate topics. Papers relating to all environmental engineering specialties will be considered.

#### MANUSCRIPT REQUIREMENTS:

Manuscripts should follow the general requirements of the ASCE authors' guide (http://www.pubs.asce.org/authors/index. html#1) and should be submitted electronically in WORD format to the Editor and Assistant Editor.

C. Robert Baillod, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE Editor e-mail: baillod@mtu.edu Yolanda Moulden Assistant Editor email: YMoulden@aaee.net

For questions or hard copy submission, please contact: Yolanda Moulden, Assistant Editor AAEE 130 Holiday Court, Suite 100 Annapolis, MD 21401 ATTN: Yolanda Moulden (410) 266-3311 (410) 266-7653 (Fax)

#### **REVIEW PROCESS**

All papers submitted to the journal are subject to critical peer review by three referees, who have special expertise in a particular subject. The Editor will have final authority over a paper's suitability for publication.

#### CATEGORIES

Papers may be submitted in the following areas:

#### Applied Research

Original work presented with careful attention to objectives, experimental design, objective data analysis, and reference to the literature. Practical implications should be discussed.

#### Review

Broad coverage of an environmental engineering application or a related practice with critical summary of other investigators' or practitioners' work.

#### Practical Notes

Novel methods that the author(s) have found to be sufficiently successful and worth recommending.

#### Case Studies

Recently completed projects or studies in progress that emphasize novel approaches or significant results.

#### Design/Operation

Conceptual or physical design or operation of engineering systems based on new models or techniques.

#### Management

Papers describing novel approaches to problems in environmental management, or to the global, sustainability or business asects of environmental engineering.

#### ABSTRACT

An abstract of up to 200 words should be provided, including a statement of the problem, method of study, results, and conclusions. References, tables, and figures should not be cited in the abstract. Up to six key words or terms should be included for use by referencing sources.

#### PHOTOGRAPHIC CONSENTS

A letter of consent must accompany all photographs of persons in which the possibility of identification exists. It is not sufficient to cover the eyes to mask identity.

License to publish will be required.

### MITIGATION OF OZONE-INDUCED BROMATE BY CARBON DIOXIDE AND CHLORINE/AMMONIA PROCESSES

David Eberle<sup>1</sup>, Zaid Chowdhury<sup>2</sup>, Laurel Passantino<sup>3</sup>, and Steve Bontrager<sup>4</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Mitigation of ozone-induced bromate by carbon dioxide and chlorine/ammonia processes was studied at the Greenway Water Treatment Plant located in Peoria, AZ. Plant scale and bench-scale testing were performed to assess practicality and to determine the influence of source water on bromate formation, especially with respect to bromide concentrations. Results indicated that both of the approaches were able to reduce bromate yield by 35 percent or more depending on the raw water quality. Mitigation effectiveness depended on the ozone/TOC dosage, and at an O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio of 1.0 mg/mg, neither process was expected to reduce bromate formation below 8 µg/L on a consistent basis. Carbon dioxide addition appeared to perform slightly more consistently compared to the chlorine/ammonia process. However, based on other economic, social, and environmental considerations not discussed in this paper, the chlorine/ammonia process appeared to be the best alternative to mitigate bromate formation in order to allow higher ozone dosages and thereby enhance taste, odor, and TOC removal.

#### INTRODUCTION

Since the first ozone water treatment plant was constructed in 1893, municipal water utilities around the world have been utilizing the disinfection properties of ozone (Langlais et al. 1991). One hundred years later, the interest in ozone continued as the suspected carcinogenic properties of trihalomethanes, formed when natural organic matter reacts with chlorine, became more publicized. With over 250 water treatment plants utilizing ozone in the United States alone, the number of utilities considering the use of ozone for water treatment remains on the rise (Hesby 2005).

Ozone  $(O_3)$  is an unstable and highly reactive molecule with strong oxidizing capabilities. In water treatment, O<sub>3</sub> is primarily used for oxidation (e.g. taste and odor, color, and micropollutants) and disinfection (viruses, Giardia lamblia, and Cryptosporidium). Because of the molecule's reactivity, however, the formation of disinfection byproducts (DBPs) may also result; specifically bromate (BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>). In 1998, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) promulgated the Stage 1 Disinfectants/ Disinfection By-Products Rule, which established a maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 10 µg/L for bromate (BrO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>), based on a running annual average of monthly samples (USEPA, 1998). At the time of setting this standard, it was accepted that a health based standard would be lower, but the practical analytical limit at that time did not allow setting a lower standard. Therefore, it is possible that the standard will be lowered in the future. With  $BrO_3^{-}$  formation a function of water quality characteristics including bromide (Br<sup>-</sup>) concentration, temperature, ozone dose, natural organic matter, pH, and contact time, some utilities have resorted to BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> mitigation strategies in order to maintain a consistent CT without violating the MCL (Amy et al. 1998a).

 ${\rm BrO_3^-}$  is formed through complex, multi-step interactions of bromide (Br<sup>-</sup>) with molecular O<sub>3</sub> and hydroxyl radicals (OH•) that are formed during ozonation of water. To reduce  ${\rm BrO_3^-}$  formation during ozonation, utilities commonly lower pH, add hydrogen peroxide  $(H_{a}O_{a})$ , or add ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) prior to ozonation. Among them, lowering pH is perhaps the most well-known and widely practiced way by which BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> mitigation has been carried out in the past. To reduce BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, the water's pH is reduced through mineral acid (e.g. sulfuric acid) or carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) addition. Lowering the pH decreases the OH• concentration, decreasing BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation via the OH• pathway. A less conventional method of adding H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> after O<sub>3</sub> addition acts to quench molecular O<sub>3</sub>. If BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is primarily formed via the molecular O<sub>3</sub> pathway, reducing O<sub>3</sub> concentrations will help to mitigate BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation. Other studies have shown the addition of NH<sub>3</sub> also reduces BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation through the creation of bromoamines, which are subsequently converted to Br- and nitrate during reactions with  $O_3$  (Amy et al. 1998a). Further investigations of the NH<sub>a</sub> process have shown that the addition of a small amount of chlorine (Cl<sub>a</sub>) prior to NH<sub>3</sub> addition will reduce BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation beyond that of NH<sub>2</sub> addition alone (Wert et al. 2007). The addition of Cl<sub>2</sub> prior to NH<sub>3</sub> helps to oxidize Br<sup>-</sup> to hypobromous acid before it reacts with NH<sub>2</sub> to form bromoamines (Buffle, 2004).

The City of Peoria, Arizona (City) Greenway Water Treatment Plant (GWTP) uses pre-ozonation followed by conventional flocculation/sedimentation, biologically active GAC filtration, and chlorination (Figure 1). The GWTP lies at the end of the Arizona Canal (Figure 2); its source water, controlled by the Salt River Project (SRP), consists of groundwater pumped





from wells along the canal and surface water from the Salt and Verde Rivers and the Central Arizona Project (CAP) Canal. With other water treatment plants and agricultural customers also receiving water from the canal, GWTP's source water quality changes daily. Presently, O<sub>3</sub> at the GWTP is not used at sufficient doses to achieve primary disinfection; however, the applied dose is believed to be aiding the removal of taste and odor (T&O) compounds and enhancing biofiltration. Due to the presence of moderate and fluctuating concentrations of Br<sup>-</sup> in the source water, O<sub>2</sub> dosages are kept to a minimum to control the formation of BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> below the City's target of less than 8 micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/L), which is 80 percent of the MCL.

In 2005, an initial study of the GWTP ozonation and GAC filtration processes was conducted to further optimize its operation by lowering  $BrO_3^-$  formation and improving the removal of disinfection byproduct (DBP) precursors and T&O compounds. The primary reasons for this study were:

- An optimized ozonation step will ensure reliable compliance with the BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> MCL while increasing the disinfection ability for the unit process.
- Improving the removal of total organic carbon (TOC) through optimized operation of the ozone system and GAC biological filters will reduce DBP concentrations in the distribution system, in particular, total trihalomethanes (TTHM), aiding in compliance with the Stage 2 DBP Rule which will commence in 2012.
- The optimized use of ozonation and GAC biological filtration will result in better tasting water due to additional removal of T&O compounds.

The initial study utilized benchscale testing to evaluate three potential  $BrO_3^-$  mitigation strategies: pH depression,  $Cl_2/NH_3$  addition, and  $H_2O_2$  addition. Two of the mitigation strategies tested on the bench-scale, pH depression and  $Cl_2/NH_3$  addition, were determined to be effective in controlling  $BrO_3^-$  to a very low level while allowing more effective utilization of the ozonation system. The study recommended that full-scale demonstration testing of these two alternatives be performed before one of the approaches was selected for full-scale implementation. The study also recommended that the impacts of GWTP's source water on Br<sup>-</sup> concentrations and BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation be evaluated. The objectives of the follow-up full-scale demonstration testing and supplemental bench-scale testing follow-up study, described herein, were to:

- Compare the effectiveness of CO<sub>2</sub> addition and the Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub> processes in mitigating BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation at plant scale, allow operators the opportunity to gain familiarity with the processes, and identify any system constraints that should be considered during the design phase.
- Determine the impact of water quality variations in the Arizona Canal on BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation, especially with respect to Br<sup>-</sup> concentrations through bench-scale experiments.





#### METHODS AND MATERIALS

#### Full-Scale Testing Overview

Full-scale demonstration testing of the two BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> mitigation strategies was conducted from February to September 2008. Carbon dioxide addition testing was performed from February 27, 2008 to April 2, 2008, when plant flows averaged 7.1 mgd. Because of various water quality and O<sub>3</sub> system limitations, the period between March and July was spent preparing for the Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>2</sub> process testing. Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>2</sub> testing was performed from July 15, 2008 to September 2, 2008, when plants flows averaged 11.5 mgd. During the full-scale demonstration, the raw water pH ranged from 7.0 to 8.3, and the raw water temperature ranged from 14°C to 34°C.

Carbon Dioxide Addition. CO, was injected prior to the pre-sedimentation basin (5.8 hour detention time at 7.1 mgd). The CO<sub>2</sub> addition system consisted of a pressure-controlled CO<sub>2</sub> storage tank piped to a pressurized solution feed (PSF) system (Figure 3). Within the PSF system, CO<sub>2</sub> was mixed with a carrier water under pressure (at or above 55 psi) through a series of baffles. The carrier water was then injected into the plant's raw water via a stainless steel diffuser. By injecting the CO<sub>2</sub> into the carrier water under pressure, greater CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution can be achieved, reducing the volume of CO<sub>2</sub> that off-gases into the atmosphere. During operation, the flow of the carrier water remained constant, but the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> injected into the carrier water varied as a function of the raw water pH and the desired pH set point. A pH probe located 30 to 90 seconds downstream of the  $CO_2$  solution feed point relayed information back to the PSF panel, which opened or closed a pneumatically-controlled value to adjust the  $CO_2$  feed rate and pH.

The pressure and phase of the  $CO_2$  in the storage tank was controlled by a heating and cooling system. When the pressure in the tank dropped below 350 psi (e.g., during high  $CO_2$  feed rates), a heater warmed the liquid  $CO_2$  and prevented the formation of ice. Similarly, when the pressure increased because of warm ambient air conditions or infrequent use, a refrigeration unit cooled the solution and prevented unnecessary  $CO_2$  off-gassing into the atmosphere.

The PSF system was temporarily installed prior to the rapid mix chamber located at the head of the plant. A pH probe downstream of the injection point continually monitored the pH and adjusted the CO<sub>2</sub> dose accordingly to achieve the desired pH set point. To aid in the mixing of the carrier and plant waters, the rapid mixer remained on during testing. The plant was started up and allowed to reach equilibrium (baseline conditions). Starting at a pH of 7.2, the O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio was gradually increased from 0.5 mg/mg to 1.0 mg/mg while maintaining  $BrO_3^{-}$  at or below 8 µg/L at the O<sub>3</sub> contactor effluent. Due to the time needed to analyze BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> samples in the laboratory, the O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio was not increased by more than 0.2 mg/mg until BrO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> samples were analyzed and recorded in the laboratory.

During the second phase of pH depression testing, an O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio of 1.0 mg/mg was continually applied to the water to assess any increases in biofiltration that may have resulted from higher O<sub>2</sub>/TOC ratios. During this period, the pH was also varied between 6.5 and 7.2 to gather more data on the effect of lowering pH and the CO<sub>2</sub> doses needed to reach the desired pH. During the last five days of testing, the pH was adjusted to 7.2 and the O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio was lowered back to 0.5 mg/mg to gather more data on the effect O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio had on BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation. Following the completion of the CO<sub>2</sub> addition testing, GWTP was returned to baseline conditions by lowering the O<sub>3</sub>/ TOC ratio to 0.5 mg/mg and removing the CO<sub>2</sub> addition equipment.

Bromate was measured at two locations:  $O_3$  contactor effluent and finished wa-

ter reservoir. Because of the long detention time in the plant, finished water BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations were used only to confirm compliance with the BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> MCL. The study focused more on BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formed at the O<sub>2</sub> contactor effluent and did not address the fate of  $BrO_3^-$  within the plant.  $O_3/TOC$ ratio, pH, CO<sub>2</sub> dose, and caustic dose were manually recorded by operators at 4-hour intervals. Raw, settled, and finished water TOC were sampled two times per day at each location by an online TOC analyzer. TOC data and other plant data (plant flows, etc.) were obtained from GWTP's supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) system.

Chlorine/Ammonia Addition. Cl./ NH<sub>2</sub> testing was carried out by temporarily relocating a Cl<sub>2</sub> solution feed line to the pre-sed basin effluent (6 minute detention time before NH<sub>2</sub> addition at 11.5 mgd) and installing a temporary NH<sub>2</sub> chemical feed system immediately prior to the O<sub>3</sub> contactor (2 minute detention time before O<sub>2</sub> addition at 11.5 mgd), shown schematically on Figure 4. Cl<sub>2</sub> gas (pulled under vacuum) was diffused into a carrier water and carried to the pre-sedimentation effluent channel where it was diffused into the plant's raw water. A 19 percent solution of aqua ammonia, also carried by a separate carrier water to aid in chemical dissolution, was added to the plant water immediately prior to ozonation. Following ozonation, coagulation/sedimentation, and filtration, additional Cl<sub>2</sub> was added in sufficient doses to remove excess NH<sub>3</sub> and provide a free Cl<sub>2</sub> residual throughout the distribution system, complying with all federal and state water quality regulations.

Following the construction of the temporary Cl<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> feed systems, startup and optimization of the system commenced on July 15, 2008. Starting with a Cl<sub>2</sub> dose of 4.0 mg/L and NH<sub>3</sub> dose of 0.3 mg/L, the O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio was gradually increased from 0.5 mg/mg to 1.0 mg/mg. With elevated concentrations of BrO3- detected at the O3 contactor, the O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio was decreased to 0.8 mg/mg, and the Cl<sub>2</sub> dose was optimized by varying the Cl<sub>2</sub> dose between 4.0 and 1.0 mg/L and collecting BrO3- samples every hour. Similarly, the NH<sub>3</sub> dose was also adjusted between 0.1 and 0.6 mg/L to achieve the lowest BrO3- yield while keeping a constant  $Cl_{2}$  dose.

#### FIGURE 5 Weighted Bromide in the Arizona Canal 0.06 WTP Phoenix 24th Street WTP Confidence Glendale Cholla WTF Phoenix Deer Valley WTP Weighted Bromide (mg/L) Interva 0.05 90 Greenway 75 0.04 50<sup>d</sup> 0.03 Peoria 254 0.02 10<sup>th</sup> 0.01 0.00 21.5E-08.0N-[2.5E-13.1N 22.1E-08.5N 22.6E-10.0N 06.5E-16.4N 08.0E-14.9N 08.5E-14.4N 09.0E-14.0N 09.7E-13.5N 11.5E-13.4N 2.0E-13.3N 22.5E-09.3N 23.0E-10.8N 24.0E-10.5N 06.0E-16.5N 07.5E-15.2N 05.4E-17.1N 07.0E-15.6N SRP Well

Throughout the course of testing, the O<sub>2</sub>/TOC ratio was adjusted to keep  $BrO_3^{-}$  formation below the 8 µg/L target. The Cl<sub>2</sub> dose and NH<sub>3</sub> dose were continually varied to verify optimized doses had been achieved. On multiple occasions, samples were collected in a single day when raw water quality was constant. During the last three weeks of testing, GWTP operators applied an O<sub>2</sub>/TOC ratio of 0.8 mg/mg to determine if an increased O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio improved biofiltration. Following the completion of the Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub> addition testing, GWTP operators returned the plant to baseline conditions by lowering the O<sub>2</sub>/TOC ratio to 0.5 mg/mg and removing the Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub> addition equipment.

Similar to  $CO_2$  addition testing, Br<sup>-</sup> and BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> samples were collected and analyzed by the City daily in order to calculate BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> yield. O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio, Cl<sub>2</sub> dose, and NH<sub>3</sub> dose were manually recorded by operators at 4-hour intervals. Raw, settled, and finished water TOC were sampled twice a day using an online TOC analyzer. TOC data and other plant data (plant flows, etc.) were obtained from GWTP's SCADA system.

#### Bench-Scale Testing Overview

The bench-scale water quality testing performed as part of this study consisted of two phases: raw water collection/blending and bench-scale testing. After collecting and analyzing SRP surface waters and water from two production wells, six simulated raw waters were produced, representative of GWTP's historical raw water quality and potential raw water quality during extended drought conditions (limited surface water). After the simulated waters were blended, bench-scale ozonation tests were performed to determine the impact of water quality on  $\mathrm{BrO}_3^-$  formation.

#### Raw Water Collection/Blend-

ing. The potential Br<sup>-</sup> impact each well could have on GWTP's raw water was determined by considering well Br<sup>-</sup> concentrations, well flow rates, well operation frequencies, the proximity of the well to GWTP, and other WTP demands. Two wells (6.5E 16.4N and 12.5E 13.1N) were identified that could potentially have the greatest impact on GWTP's raw water quality (Figure 5). Although other wells had a higher potential to contribute Br<sup>-</sup> to GWTP's raw water, Well 12.5E 13.1N was selected because of its high Br<sup>-</sup> level and alkalinity.

Five gallons of Salt River water and Verde River water were collected from Blue Point Bridge and along the Beeline Highway just west of Fort McDowell Road, respectively. Five gallons of water from each wellhead were also collected following a 15-minute well purge. All waters were stored at 4°C until the optimized BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> mitigation strategy doses were determined in the full-scale demonstration testing. Raw water samples were sent to the City for Br<sup>-</sup> analysis; TOC was measured at Arizona State University. The results of the analyses were used to blend the waters to represent the simulated raw water conditions shown

TABLE 1 Simulated Raw Water Scenarios for Bench-Scale Testing							
	Simulated Raw Water Scenario	Water Source	TOC (mg/L)	Bromide (µg/L)			
1	Extreme Drought Conditions	Wellhead 12.5E 13.1N	1.2	872			
2	Reasonable Drought Conditions	Wellhead 6.5E 16.4N	1.5	443			
3	Typical Summer Water with Average Winter Rain	Salt River	4.7	120			
4	Typical Winter Water with Average Winter Rain	Verde River	3.9	45			
5	Summer Water with Elevated Br-	Salt River and Wellhead 6.5E 16.4N Blend	3.8	516			
6	Winter Water with Elevated Br-	Verde River and Wellhead 6.5E 16.4N Blend	3.0	443			

TABLE 2 Bench-Scale Testing Conditions NH. Contact CL<sub>o</sub> Dose CL<sub>o</sub> Contact NH<sub>a</sub> Dose pH Strategy (mg/L)Time (min)<sup>1</sup> (mg/L)Time  $(min)^2$ pH Depression #1 6.8 -pH Depression #2 6.5 ---CL<sub>o</sub>/NH<sub>o</sub> Addition #1 Ambient 1.05 0.3 1 CL<sub>0</sub>/NH<sub>2</sub> Addition #2 Ambient 0.5 5 0.3 1 Notes:

1 Prior to ammonia addition

2 Prior to ozone addition



in Table 1. After blending the waters, the City analyzed Br<sup>-</sup>, alkalinity, and pH of the simulated waters.

**Bench-Scale Testing.** Following completion of the raw water blending and analyses, bench-scale batch ozonation tests were performed. For each of the simulated waters described above, an  $O_3/TOC$  ratio of 0.5 and 1.0 mg/mg was applied using a liquid  $O_3$  solution for each of the three mitigation strategies (no mitigation, pH depression, and Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>2</sub> addition). Lowering the pH with  $CO_2$  is a difficult process in the laboratory. For this reason, sulfuric acid  $(H_2SO_4)$  was used instead. For  $Cl_2/NH_3$ addition, sodium hypochlorite and aqua ammonia were used. Each test was carried out in 40-mL vials at room temperature (23°C). Similar to the full-scale operation, no  $O_3$ quenching agents were used. The testing algorithm for one of the six simulated waters is depicted on Figure 6. Chemical dosing and detention times for the  $BrO_3^-$  mitigation strategies were determined from the results of the full-scale demonstration optimization periods (Table 2). The City analyzed samples for  $BrO_3^-$ ; MWH Laboratories analyzed samples for TTHMs. Water quality results were adjusted to account for the dilution caused by the liquid ozone solution.

#### Analytical Methods

Br<sup>-</sup> (EPA 300.0) and BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (EPA 300.1) were measured using the City's ion chromatograph (ICS-2000). TOC was either measured using the City's online TOC analyzer (ultraviolet/ persulfate oxidation) or the local university TOC analyzer, both which complied with USEPA-approved Standard Method 5310C and proposed EPA 415.3. Alkalinity (titrated with 0.02N  $H_{2}SO_{4}$  and methyl purple) and pH (Fisher Scientific Accumet AR60 dual channel meter with an Accumet gel probe) were measured by water treatment technicians in the GWTP laboratory. TTHM samples (EPA 551.1) were sent to MWH Laboratories for analysis.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Full-Scale Testing

**Bromate Yield.** Bromide and  $BrO_3^-$  samples were collected and analyzed by the City on a daily basis. In a 1998 study, Amy et al. determined that relationship between  $BrO_3^-$  formation and raw water  $Br^-$  was almost linear when other water quality parameters remained constant (1998b). In order to adjust for variable  $Br^-$  concentrations in the raw water and compare both  $BrO_3^-$  mitigation strategies,  $BrO_3^-$  was reported as  $BrO_3^-$  yield ( $BrO_3^-/Br^-$ ).

During CO<sub>2</sub> addition testing, Br<sup>-</sup> concentrations ranged from 36 to 95 µg/L and averaged 49 µg/L. Br<sup>-</sup> in Verde River water, the primary source water during testing, have historically been 40-50 µg/L. Br<sup>-</sup> peaks noted at the end of the testing were likely the result of Salt River water in the source water mix. Because the Br<sup>-</sup> concentration, ozonated pH, and O<sub>2</sub>/TOC ratio varied during testing, O3 contactor  $BrO_3^-$  ranged from <2 µg/L to 12.2 µg/L. Reservoir effluent  $BrO_3^-$  ranged from <2  $\mu$ g/L to 7.7  $\mu$ g/L. BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> yield as a function of O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio at pH 7.2 is shown on Figure 7 (along with confidence intervals when sufficient data were collected). As expected,  $BrO_{3}^{-}$  yield increased as the O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio increased. At an O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio of 1.0 mg/

mg, reducing the pH from 8.0 to 7.2 reduced the 50th percentile  $\text{BrO}_3^-$  yield from 0.18 µg/µg to 0.15 µg/µg. Applying the relationship indicated in Figure 7, at pH 7.2 and average GWTP raw water Br<sup>-</sup> (100 µg/L), an O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio of approximately 0.6-0.7 mg/mg estimates BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation below the 8 µg/L target. At the same pH and Br<sup>-</sup> concentration, applying an O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio of 1.0 mg/mg would likely have produced 15 µg/L BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, which is higher than the City's target and the federal MCL. In this case, the pH would need to be lowered in order to reduce BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation and allow the City to apply a higher O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio.

BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> yield as a function of pH at an O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio between 0.95 and 1.0 mg/mg is shown on Figure 8. Because only a few tests were performed at pH 6.6, 6.8, and 7.0, individual data points are shown. Confidence intervals are only shown for pH 7.2. In this case, BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation generally decreased as the pH was lowered from 7.2 to 6.6. In order to meet the 8 µg/L target when applying an O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio of 1.0 mg/mg to average Br<sup>-</sup> water (100 µg/L), the pH would need to be lowered to approximately 7.0.

During Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>2</sub> demonstration testing, Br<sup>-</sup> ranged from 81 to 153 µg/L and averaged 104 µg/L. This level was almost twice the level observed during the CO<sub>2</sub> testing. Much of the raw water was originating from the Salt River where  $Br^-$  (100-150 µg/L) are more than double that of the Verde River. The Br<sup>-</sup> peaks noted during the testing were likely the result of higher Salt River water and groundwater contributions in the source water mix. Throughout the course of testing, O<sub>3</sub> contactor BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ranged from <2 µg/L to 16.6 µg/L. Reservoir effluent  $BrO_3^-$  ranged from <2 µg/L to 11.9 µg/L. Although one sample was higher than the BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> MCL of 10 µg/L, BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> compliance is based on annual average of monthly samples, which was never exceeded during the testing. All other finished water reservoir BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> samples during the testing were below 10 µg/L.

 ${\rm BrO_3^-}$  yield as a function of  ${\rm Cl_2}$  dose is shown on Figure 9. In this figure, results from seven tests were used to determine the optimal  ${\rm Cl_2}$  dose. Five of the seven tests showed  ${\rm BrO_3^-}$  yield decrease as the  ${\rm Cl_2}$ dose approached 1.0 mg/L. Similar tests on NH<sub>3</sub> were performed in order to optimize







the  $NH_3$  dose (Figure 10). Unlike the  $Cl_2$  dose, no trends were observed from the data collected. This was likely the result of problems with crystallization of  $NH_3$  that was

experienced during testing (see Additional Observations discussion below). A review of literature describing the  $Cl_2/NH_3$  process suggested a  $NH_3$  dose of 0.1 to 0.3 mg/L is







sufficient for  $\text{BrO}_3^-$  mitigation (Wert et al., 2007). Comparing the suggested literature values with the demonstration testing data, an NH<sub>3</sub> dose of 0.3 mg/L was selected as the optimized dose.

 $BrO_{3}^{-}$  yield as a function of  $O_{3}/TOC$ ratio using the Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>2</sub> process is shown on Figure 11. Even though BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> yield varied with respect to Cl<sub>2</sub> dose and NH<sub>3</sub> dose, all Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub> data points were used to provide a better picture of the correlation between O<sub>2</sub>/ TOC ratio and BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> yield. As expected, BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> yield increased as the O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio increased. At an O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio of 1.0 mg/mg, reducing Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub> addition reduced the 50th percentile BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> yield from 0.18 µg/µg to 0.11 µg/µg. Applying the relationship indicated in Figure 11 to the Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub> process during average GWTP raw water  $Br^{-}$  (100 µg/L) conditions and an O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio of 1.0 mg/mg estimates bromate formation at 11-12 µg/L BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. This would have exceeded the City's target and a lower O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio would have to be applied. For  $BrO_3^{-}$  to be less than the 8 µg/L target in this case, the O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio would have to decrease to 0.8 mg/mg.

TOC Removal. During CO<sub>2</sub> addition testing, raw water TOC ranged from 5.2 to 7.1 mg/L and averaged 6.1 mg/L. While higher than raw water TOC when GWTP was first commissioned in 2002 (3 to 5 mg/ L), these concentrations were similar to high winter TOC that GWTP has experienced since 2004. Average removal via coagulation/ sedimentation was approximately 29 percent, 6 percent lower than observed immediately before and after testing (Figure 12). The noted drop in TOC removal via coagulation/ sedimentation was likely the result of decreased alum doses during the testing period (from 40 mg/L to 30 mg/L). TOC removal via biofiltration was approximately 20 percent, up from 10 percent immediately prior to and after testing. The increase in TOC removal via biofiltration was likely the result of the increased O<sub>2</sub>/ TOC ratio used during the testing.

During  $Cl_2/NH_3$  demonstration testing, raw water TOC ranged from 3.6 to 6.3 mg/L and averaged 5.3 mg/L. On average, the raw water TOC was about 15 percent less than the TOC during  $CO_2$  demonstration testing. Average removal via coagulation/sedimentation was approximately 27 percent, 4 percent lower than observed





immediately before and after testing (Figure 12). The noted drop in TOC removal via coagulation/sedimentation was likely the result of decreased alum doses during the testing period (from 25 mg/L to 20 mg/L). Similar to  $CO_2$  addition testing, TOC removal via biofiltration averaged 20 percent (up from 10 percent immediately prior to and after testing). Similar to  $CO_2$  testing, the increase in TOC removal via biofiltration was likely the result of the increased  $O_3$ /TOC ratio used during the testing.

TTHM Formation. Because Cl<sub>2</sub> was added earlier in the treatment process, TTHMs were monitored in the finished water to determine if the Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub> process would increase TTHM formation in the plant (Figure 13). During the winter (December 2007 through March 2008), plant TTHMs averaged 35 µg/L. As the warm summer months approached, TTHMs increased to 60 µg/L. The TTHMs then dropped to 40 µg/L when GWTP replaced the GAC in two filters. On or around July 15, 2008, when adsorption had nearly finished and the Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub> process commenced, a spike of almost 90 µg/L was observed, but plant TTHMs dropped and remained around 55 µg/L for the remainder of the testing period. Following the testing period, TTHMs remained around 55 µg/L. The 90 µg/L spike was likely due to the high Cl<sub>2</sub> doses (4.0 mg/L) initially used during the optimization period. Once the dose was reduced to 1.0 mg/L a few days later, TTHMs did not appear to fluctuate. Based on these findings, when a Cl<sub>2</sub> dose of 1.0 mg/L was used for the Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub> process, no increases in TTHMs were observed leaving the plant compared to baseline conditions.

**CO**<sub>2</sub> **Dosing**. The CO<sub>2</sub> dose needed to achieve a desired pH is a function of raw water pH and alkalinity. During testing, the CO<sub>2</sub> dose was determined automatically by a pH meter located downstream of the injection point. The CO<sub>2</sub> flowrate, raw water flowrate, raw water pH, and pH set point were recorded every four hours by operators and used to determine CO<sub>a</sub> concentration as a function of desired pH, given a raw water pH of 8.0 (Figure 14). With an average alkalinity of 154 mg/L as  $CaCO_3$ ,  $CO_2$  dose with respect to pH was linear between pH 7.0 and 7.4. As the pH approached 6.6, however, the CO<sub>2</sub> dose became more exponential, requiring up to 65 mg/L CO<sub>2</sub> to reach a pH of 6.6. This curve obtained empirically from test data was compared to theoretical calculations performed using equilibrium calculations based on an alkalinity of 154 mg/L and raw water pH of 8.0. In the pH range of 7.3 to 6.8, the theoretical calculations were similar to the values observed during testing.

Additional Observations. After roughly two weeks of  $CO_2$  addition testing, a thin film of algae was observed on the surface of the pre-sedimentation basin. The increased algae growth in the pre-sedimentation basin was likely due to the increased presence of  $CO_2$  in the water. Similar algae growth was not observed in the main sedimentation basins. During the demonstration testing, the  $CO_2$  feed point was located prior to the pre-sed basin because of the electrical constraints imposed by the  $CO_2$ storage tank and PSF panel.

During Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>2</sub> testing, GWTP operators had difficulties maintaining the temporary NH<sub>3</sub> feed system. Because of the ambient air temperature and distance between the chemical feed pump and injection point (>100 ft), NH<sub>3</sub> volatized in the pipe and air-locked the pump. Also, a few weeks after testing, operators checked the feed system piping and noted that there was significant scale build-up where NH<sub>3</sub> mixed with the carrier water. This was likely caused by the hardness of the carrier water. In all, the chemical feed line needed to be replaced three times and could have been the cause of some inconsistent data points obtained during testing.

#### **Bench-Scale Testing**

During the bench-scale testing,  $H_2SO_4$ was used in lieu of  $CO_2$  to reduce the pH.







Results from the pH lowering practices may vary slightly as  $CO_2$  does not destroy alkalinity while the addition of acid does. Because alkalinity plays a role in ozone decay and hydroxyl radical scavenging, the effect on  $BrO_3^-$  mitigation could vary slightly (not considered significant for the purpose of this study) between these two alternatives for depressing pH.

**Bromate Yield.** The alkalinity and  $BrO_3^-$  yield of the simulated waters with no mitigation strategy are shown on Figure 15. Well 06.5E-16.4N and Salt River water had the highest  $BrO_3^-$  yield of all the waters (0.302 and 0.276 µg/µg, respectively) followed by the Salt River water blend. Verde River water (pure and blended) had the lowest  $BrO_3^-$  yield, possibly due to the lower  $Br^-$  and higher alkalinity. Well 12.5E-13.1N had a lower  $BrO_3^-$  yield than the other well even through its  $Br^-$  was nearly double. This may have been because of the well's high alkalinity.

Figure 16 summarizes the results of bench-scale BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> mitigation testing with various waters at the O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio of 0.5 mg/mg. As shown on this figure, both BrO,- mitigation strategies were effective on the groundwaters and surface water blends. For reasons not entirely evident, an increase in BrO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> formation was observed after the mitigation strategies were applied to the unblended Salt and Verde waters. Similar trends were also observed at an O<sub>2</sub>/TOC ratio of 1.0 mg/mg (Figure 17), although it should be noted that the apparent increase in BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> from the mitigation strategies were much less prominent in the pure source waters at the elevated  $O_3/TOC$  ratio. At an O<sub>2</sub>/TOC ratio of 0.5 mg/mg, some of the increases could have been an artifact of higher measurement variability when near the ion chromatograph's lower detection limit. At an O<sub>2</sub>/TOC ratio of 1.0 mg/mg, when measured concentrations were considerably above the lower detection limit, the increase in BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation could have been attributed to the complex interaction of alkalinity and TOC to the applied  $O_3$  dose.

The extent to which  $BrO_3^-$  forms depends not only on the moleculer  $O_3^$ and OH• radical pathway rates of reactions, but also on the complex series of reactions between  $O_3^-$ , OH• radicals, and other constituents. When TOC reacts with  $O_3^-$ , OH• radicals are formed. Because of their strong oxidative nature, if these radicals are not reduced by other constituents in the water (e.g., pathogens, organic matter, carbonate ions), they can form additional  $BrO_3^-$ . Given that these constituents will all react at different rates and to greater/lesser extents,  $BrO_3^-$  reduction may vary, as observed in this series of testing with these simulated waters.

TTHM Formation. Samples were also collected and measured for TTHMs. During bench-scale testing a small amount of TTHMs (less than 15  $\mu\text{g}/L)$  had been observed to form as a result of the chlorine application that was needed for the Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>2</sub> process. It should be noted, however, that because these waters were taken from the wellhead and from upstream sources, the Cl<sub>o</sub> demand was likely lower than GWTP raw water which would imply that more of the Cl<sub>2</sub> was available for THM formation compared to full-scale conditions. For this reason, full-scale data from similar tests showed no overall increase, although bench-scale testing showed a small increase in THM formation. The full-scale results were also influenced by the removal of Cl<sub>o</sub> demanding organics by GAC adsorption and biofiltration at the plant.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of the full-scale plant demonstration and bench scale experiments, the following conclusions were drawn:

- Both pH depression (via CO<sub>2</sub> addition) and the Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub> process were able to reduce BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> yield 35 percent or more depending on the raw water blends available at the GWTP. CO<sub>2</sub> addition appeared to perform slightly more consistently compared to the Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub> process.
- Based on the full-scale and bench-scale testing, applying an O<sub>3</sub>/TOC ratio of 1.0 mg/mg, neither process was expected to reduce BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation below 8 µg/L 100 percent of the time. CO<sub>2</sub> addition may not economically reduce pH below 6.8 in high alkalinity waters, and Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub> addition may not produce significant BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction in some surface water blends.
- During full-scale testing, Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub> addition did not appear to increase

overall TTHM concentrations in the finished water.

Both BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> mitigation strategies were very effective on groundwaters. Both processes also showed promise on surface/groundwater blends, which is the most likely composition of water in the Arizona Canal. Considering their proximity to GWTP, Br- levels, and frequency of operation, wells 06.0E-16.5N, 06.5E-16.4N, and 07.5E-15.2N had the highest potential to increase raw water Br- levels. During ozonation, groundwater had the highest potential to form BrO3-, followed by Salt River Water. Possibly due to its higher alkalinity and lower Br- levels, Verde River Water had the lowest BrO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation potential.

#### POSTSCRIPT

The conclusions outlined above were applied with other economic, social, and environmental decision criteria not discussed in this paper. In addition to bromate mitigation, these criteria included total present worth costs, process life cycle assessments, health and safety, operational complexity, and community acceptance. Based on these criteria, the chlorine/ammonia process appeared to be the best alternative to mitigate bromate formation while allowing higher ozone dosages and thereby enhancing taste, odor, and TOC removal.

#### ABOUT THE AUTHORS

- 1. Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.
- 2. Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.
- 3. Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.
- 4. City of Peoria, Arizona

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the following City of Peoria treatment plant operators, laboratory technicians, and Technical Advisory Committee for their assistance during the project:

- · Linda Bezy-Botma, City of Peoria
- · Joe Kurrus, P.E., City of Peoria
- John Kerns, City of Peoria
- Mark Williams, City of Peoria
- Amy Baker, City of Peoria
- · Cindy Bain, City of Peoria

#### REFERENCES

- Amy, G.L. and M.S. Siddiqui. (1998a). "Strategies to Control Bromate and Bromide." Chapter 4. Bromate Minimization Strategies, American Water Works Association, pp. 41-61.
- Amy, G.L., M. Siddiqui, K. Ozekin, H.W. Zhu, and C. Wang, (1998b). "Empirically Based Models for Predicting Chlorination and Ozonation By-Product: Haloacetic Acids, Chloral Hydrate, and Bromate." EPA Report CX 819579. USEPA Office of Groundwater and Drinking Water: Cincinnati, OH, 1998.
- Buffle, M., S. Galli, and U. von Gunten (2004). "Enhanced Bromate Control during Ozonation: The Chlorine-Ammonia Process." *Environmental Science and Technology* 38.19: 5187-5195.
- Hesby, J.C. (2005). "Water Treatment Plant Design." Chapter 10. Oxidation and Disinfection, Edward E. Baruth, ed., Mc-Graw-Hill, New York, 10.39-10.61.
- Langlais, B., D.A. Rechnow, and D.R. Broink, eds, (1991). "Ozone in Water Treatment, Application and Engineering." Chapter 1. Introduction, American Water Works Association, pp. 1-9.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA 1998). "National Primary Drinking Water Regulations: Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts." <a href="http://www.epa.gov/OGWDW/mdbp/dbpfr">http:// www.epa.gov/OGWDW/mdbp/dbpfr. html> (October 29, 2008).</a>
- Wert, E.C., J.J. Neemann, D. Johnson, D. Rexing, and R. Zegers. (2007). "Pilot-Scale and Full-Scale Evaluation of the Chlorine-Ammonia Process for Bromate Control During Ozonation." Ozone: Science and Engineering, International Ozone Association, 29: 363-372.



With its focus on companies and professionals in the field of Environmental Engineering, the AAEE Career Center offers its members -- and the industry at large -- an easy-to-use and highly targeted resource for online employment connections.

Both members and non-members can use AAEE Career Center to reach qualified candidates. For only \$250 for a 30-day listing, employers can post jobs online, search for qualified candidates based on specific job criteria, and create an online resume agent to email qualified candidates daily.

For job seekers, the AAEE Career Center is a free service that provides access to employers and jobs in the field of environmental engineering. In addition to posting their resumes, job seekers can browse and view available jobs based on their criteria and save those jobs for later review if they choose. Job seekers can also create a search agent to provide email notifications of jobs that match their criteria.



# http://careers.aaee.net

# the AAEE Awards













presenting



Competition entries and winners

### May 6, 2009 National Press Club Washington, D.C.

For tickets and information, call



at (410) 266-3311 or visit our website at http://www.aaee.net

Recognizing

**STANLEY E. KAPPE AWARD** Stephen P. Graef, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE

GORDON MASKEW FAIR AWARD Thomas E. Wilson, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE

**EDWARD J. CLEARY AWARD** Walter J. Bishop, P.E., BCEE

HONORARY BCEE Dr. Perry McCarty

HONORARY BCEE Michael J. Rouse